INNOVATION& INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY COLLABORATIVE DYNAMICS IN AFRICA

Editors: Jeremy de Beer, Chris Armstrony, Chidi Oguamanam & Tobias Schonwetter

Innovation & Intellectual Property Collaborative Dynamics in Africa

EDITORS: JEREMY DE BEER, CHRIS ARMSTRONG, CHIDI OGUAMANAM AND TOBIAS SCHONWETTER

Published by UCT Press in association with the IP Unit, Faculty of Law, University of Cape Town (UCT) and Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ).



Innovation & Intellectual Property: Collaborative Dynamics in Africa First published 2014 by UCT Press

an imprint of Juta and Company Ltd First floor, Sunclare Building

21 Dreyer Street Claremont, 7708 South Africa www.uctpress.co.za

© 2014 UCT and Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 2.5 South Africa Licence. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/2.5/za/ or send a letter to Creative Commons, 444 Castro Street, Suite 900, Mountain View, California, 94041, USA.

This book is published by UCT Press. This work was carried out with the aid of a grant from the International Development Research Centre, Ottawa, Canada, with financial support from the German Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development (BMZ), and in cooperation with Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ).

ISBN: 978-1-91989-599-4 (Parent) ISBN: 978-1-77582-143-4 (EBPUB) ISBN: 978-1-77582-142-7 (WebPDF) ISBN: 978-1-77582-180-9 (WebPDF Chapter 3)

Project manager: Glenda Younge Editor: Daphne Burger Proofreader: Alfred LeMaitre Indexer: Ethné Clarke Cover designer: Farm Design

Typeset in 10.5 pt on 13 pt Minion Pro by: Integra

Printed and bound in the Republic of South Africa by Creda Communications The authors and the publisher have made every effort to obtain permission for and to acknowledge the use of copyright material. Should any infringement of copyright have occurred, please contact the publisher, and every effort will be made to rectify omissions or errors in the event of a reprint or new edition.

This book has been independently peer-reviewed by academics who are experts in the field.

Contents

Prefacev
Acknowledgementsix
About the Editorsxiii
About the Contributors xiv
Acronyms and Abbreviationsxvii
Chapter 1 Innovation, Intellectual Property and Development Narratives in Africa
Jerenny de beer, Chidi Ogdanianani ana Tobias Schohwetter
Chapter 2 Frameworks for Analysing African Innovation: Entrepreneurship, the Informal Economy and Intellectual Property
Chapter 3 Informal–Formal Sector Interactions in Automotive Engineering, Kampala
Chapter 4 Geographical Indication (GI) Options for Ethiopian Coffee and Ghanaian Cocoa
Chapter 5
A Consideration of Communal Trademarks for Nigerian Leather and Textile Products109 Adebambo Adewopo, Helen Chuma-Okoro and Adejoke Oyewunmi
Chapter 6 The Policy Context for a Commons-Based Approach to Traditional Knowledge in Kenya132 <i>Marisella Ouma</i>
Chapter 7 Consideration of a Legal "Trust" Model for the Kukula Healers' TK Commons in South Africa

Chapter 8 From <i>De Facto</i> Commons to Digital Commons? The Case of Egypt's Independent Music Industry
Chapter 9 Reflections on Open Scholarship Modalities and the Copyright Environment in Kenya
Chapter 10 African Patent Offices Not Fit for Purpose
Chapter 11 The State of Biofuel Innovation in Mozambique248 Fernando dos Santos and Simão Pelembe
Chapter 12 Reflections on the Lack of Biofuel Innovation in Egypt
Chapter 13 Effects of the South African IP Regime on Generating Value from Publicly Funded Research: An Exploratory Study of Two Universities
Chapter 14 Towards University–Industry Innovation Linkages in Ethiopia
Chapter 15 Perspectives on Intellectual Property from Botswana's Publicly Funded Researchers
Chapter 16 Current Realities of Collaborative Intellectual Property in Africa
Index

Preface

This book is among the key outputs of the Open African Innovation Research and Training (Open A.I.R.) Project. Based on case study research in nine African countries, the book examines the recent history and current on-the-ground realities of innovation and intellectual property (IP) in African settings. In doing so, the book reveals complex collaborative dynamics across a range of different countries, sectors and socio-economic contexts, and generates recommendations for how innovation and IP can be married with social and economic development objectives in African settings. This book's sister report, *Knowledge and Innovation in Africa: Scenarios for the Future*, situates the current realities covered in this book within a much longer historical trajectory and multiple potential futures.

Conceived in 2009, established in 2010 and launched in 2011, Open A.I.R. is a pan-African and globally interconnected research and training network, which was established to:

- raise IP awareness in African settings and facilitate critical policy engagement;
- empower a networked, epistemic IP community in Africa;
- identify IP-related innovation bottlenecks and modes of open collaboration; and
- interrogate IP-related innovation metrics, capital and power structures.

Open A.I.R. is financially supported by Canada's International Development ResearchCentre (IDRC) and Germany's Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development (BMZ), and collaborates with numerous other organisations and individuals – all of whom are recognised in the Acknowledgements' pages of this book. In addition to the aforementioned case study and foresight research, the Open A.I.R. network engages in a wide range of training, capacity building, outreach and policy engagement activities – both on the African continent and in settings outside the continent where matters of African innovation and IP are engaged. These engagements target external stakeholders capable of changing policies and practices, including:

- innovators, creators and entrepreneurs individuals and companies;
- business groups such as chambers of commerce and industry associations;
- national, regional and international law-makers and policy-makers;
- issue leaders, such as politicians, judges, professors and practitioners;
- scientific and cultural research and development funding bodies;

- university researchers, administrators and technology transfer officials;
- rights-holders and collective rights management organisations; and
- representatives of indigenous and local communities.

Open A.I.R. is motivated by a vision in which innovation and creativity in Africa are sustainable, properly valued, collaborative, widely accessible and result in benefits that are distributed throughout society. Based on this vision, the network's mission is to better understand how innovation and IP processes work in African settings, how knowledge and technology currently protected by IP can be mobilised, and how IP systems can be harnessed or adapted in a manner that fosters openness-oriented collaborative innovation resulting in just distribution of new knowledge and technology.

This book and the *Scenarios* volume are two parts of a much broader attempt, by Open A.I.R. and other initiatives, to facilitate, in the medium to long term, the emergence of new, pragmatic means of valuing and facilitating innovation and creativity in Africa. Contextually appropriate metrics sensitive to the monitoring of meaningful changes in behaviour around innovation and creativity could be instrumental for promoting African grassroots entrepreneurship, broad-based business development, and a vibrant private sector built on small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) with a sustained ability to innovate. And the opportunities for innovation-driven SMEs could also benefit from policy-maker adoption of appropriate metrics when designing the policy and regulatory frameworks necessary to ensure predictable innovation environments for stakeholders.

Open A.I.R.'s core funders, IDRC and BMZ, have provided a framework for Open A.I.R.'s objectives. Open A.I.R. fits within the IDRC's Science and Innovation programme, which supports research and policy engagement in relation to how science, technology and innovation (STI) can be engines of socio-economic development. Within this programme, the Information and Networks (I&N) initiative, which funds the Open A.I.R. Project, aims to better understand the linkages among innovation, creativity, networked collaborations (often enabled via information and communication technologies [ICTs]), and determinants of openness – including IP rights. The IDRC also supported the precursor network to Open A.I.R., the African Copyright and Access to Knowledge (ACA2K) Project, which ran from 2007 to 2011 and generated the nucleus of the expert network now driving Open A.I.R.

BMZ supports Open A.I.R. via Germany's Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ), under the GIZ commons@ip – Harnessing the Knowledge Commons for Open Innovation initiative. The commons@ip initiative focuses on how IP rights interact with open innovation, the knowledge commons, open licences and collaborative innovation. It is part of the BMZ- mandated Train for Trade programme, which aims at strengthening the private sector and its constituent bodies in the Southern African Development Community (SADC) region through training and capacity building in export promotion, quality control and promotion of open innovation – as well as through promotion of local and regional economic development and trade.

Open A.I.R.'s training and capacity building components include:

- building the network's capacity through online platforms, network-wide workshops, research methodology support, scenario-building meetings and thematic seminars;
- awarding Open A.I.R. Fellowships to emerging IP scholars and potential leaders from Tanzania, Kenya, Uganda, Ethiopia, Cameroon, Nigeria and Egypt;
- exchanging knowledge through Africa-wide and South–South knowledge networking at seminars, workshops and conferences;
- growing awareness among African creators, innovators, entrepreneurs and policy-makers of openness-oriented approaches to innovation and IP matters in Africa; and
- teaching at African tertiary educational institutions, including development of a replicable, open course curriculum on IP law and development.

Because of the immense geographic size of the African continent, and unique logistical challenges of African intra-continental travel, ICTs have been instrumental in empowering the research network's "community of practice". Open A.I.R. has an offline presence in 14 African countries and in multiple countries outside the continent. Online, the network includes hundreds of individuals and institutions throughout Africa and from all corners of the globe, linked via a suite of online networking and social-media tools. The Open A.I.R. community of practice advances a culture of multidirectional exchange among African innovative and creative communities and external actors – with a view to sustainably empowering local communities and SMEs. Network members promote cross-fertilisation of ideas via original thinking and partnerships with national and international institutions, scholars, funding agencies, civil society organisations and other willing partners. Those wishing to join the community can visit http://www.openair.org.za/join.

Acknowledgements

True to its emphasis on "collaborative dynamics", this book is the product of the collective energy of dozens of people and institutions in many countries, all of whom work within the Open African Innovation Research and Training (Open A.I.R.) network. Open A.I.R. currently has core network members and institutions in 14 African countries, spanning North Africa (Egypt, Tunisia), West Africa (Senegal, Ghana, Nigeria, Cameroon), East Africa (Ethiopia, Uganda, Kenya, Tanzania) and southern Africa (Malawi, Mozambique, Botswana and South Africa). Other network members and institutions are in Canada, the United States, the United Kingdom, Germany and France. These members are, in turn, linked – via online and offline interactions – to a broader Open A.I.R. network of hundreds of individuals and institutions, including people and entities in Brazil, India, Malaysia, Australia, Switzerland and the Netherlands. The network receives generous financial support from Canada's International Development Research Centre (IDRC) and Germany's Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development (BMZ).

Each of the editors and authors of this volume is part of, and collaboratively exchanges knowledge and expertise with, this large network, and we the editors, and each of the contributors, are profiled in "About the Editors" and "About the Contributors" sections of this book and on the Open A.I.R. website's Team page, http://www.openair.org.za/content/open-air-team. On this Team page, one can also find the names and contact details of Open A.I.R. Fellows and other network members and institutions. The network is also accessible via its social media platforms, featured at http://www.openair.org.za/join

Open A.I.R.'s administrative hub is the IP Unit in the University of Cape Town Faculty of Law, where Project Manager Nan Warner and Administrator Phyllis Webb are the key operational drivers. Warner and Webb receive management support from two of the editors of this book (and the co-Principal Investigators of the Open A.I.R. Project), UCT IP Unit Director Tobias Schonwetter and Jeremy de Beer of the University of Ottawa Faculty of Law. Also supporting project management are Julie Nadler-Visser of UCT's Research Contracts and IP Services (RCIPS) unit, members of the UCT Finance Department and Faculty of Law Finance Department, and another editor of this book: Chris Armstrong of the LINK Centre at the University of the Witwatersrand (Wits) in Johannesburg.

Network strategic guidance is provided by a Steering Committee composed of De Beer, Schonwetter, Warner, Chidi Oguamanam (another of this book's

editors) of the University of Ottawa Faculty of Law, Nagla Rizk of The American University in Cairo (AUC), Sisule Musungu of IQsensato in Nairobi, Khaled Fourati of the IDRC office in Cairo, and Balthas Seibold of Germany's Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) in Bonn. Further strategic support from the IDRC is, or has been, provided by Naser Faruqui, Simon Carter, Laurent Elder, Fernando Perini, Matthew Smith, Heloise Emdon and Phet Sayo; Karim Badran and Rose-Marie Ndiaye Pereira on financial matters; and Michelle Hibler and Nola Haddadian on publications. GIZ's involvement is focused on the capacity-building components of the network, which are carried out in collaboration with the GIZ's commons@ip - Harnessing the Knowledge Commons for Open Innovation initiative. At GIZ, in addition to support from the aforementioned Steering Committee member Balthas Seibold, who advises on matters of international knowledge cooperation and networking, support has also come from Petra Hagemann, Christine de Barros Said, Ursula van Look, Marina Neuendorff, Margrit Brockhaus and the Working Group of German Development Organisations on Promoting Innovation Systems. At UCT, as well as those already mentioned, key supporters and collaborators have been the Dean of Law, PJ Schwikkard, Lee-Ann Tong in the Faculty of Law, and, in the IP Unit, the Unit's founder Julian Kinderlerer, its Deputy Director Caroline Ncube and its Senior Research Fellow Bernard Maister. At the University of Ottawa, in addition to those already mentioned, support has been provided by the Dean of the Faculty of Law, Common Law Section, Nathalie Des Rosiers, and Former Dean Bruce Feldthusen.

For this book, key network participants were the team of JD candidates in the University of Ottawa Faculty of Law - Lukas Frey, Will Sapp, Phil Holdsworth, Maya Boorah, Kristen Holman and Saara Punjani - who provided long hours of diligent editorial assistance. In addition, because the research case studies presented in this book all required collection of data from human subjects - via interviews and/or focus group discussions and/or written surveys - this book would not have been possible without the cooperation of dozens of respondents across the countries of study. For reasons of confidentiality, most survey and interview respondents are not named in this book, but we are sincerely grateful for their contributions. Also contributing to the research outlined in this book was Donna Podems of OtherWISE in Cape Town, who advised on research methodologies and supported a methodology workshop for several of the authors featured in this volume, in addition to her support of Open A.I.R.'s monitoring and evaluation (M&E) framework. At this book's publisher, UCT Press, the key drivers have been Publisher Sandy Shepherd and Project Manager Glenda Younge. The cover design for this volume is by Elsabe Gelderblom of Farm Design in Cape Town, who does all of Open A.I.R.'s design work for its website, social media tools, PR materials, *Briefing Notes* and the network's other substantial publication output, the Open A.I.R. *Scenarios* compendium – which is available in hard-copy, and on the Open A.I.R. website, as a separate published output and companion to this book.

Network headquarters at the UCT IP Unit serves as Open A.I.R.'s Southern Africa Hub, coordinated by Project Manager Warner. There are also four other Hubs: the North Africa Hub at the Access to Knowledge for Development Center (A2K4D) of the School of Business at The American University in Cairo (AUC), coordinated by Nagham El Houssamy under the direction of Nagla Rizk; the West Africa Hub at the Nigerian Institute of Advanced Legal Studies (NIALS) in Lagos, coordinated by Helen Chuma-Okoro under the direction of Adebambo Adewopo; the East Africa Hub at the Centre for IP and IT Law (CIPIT) of Strathmore University, Nairobi, coordinated by CIPIT Director Isaac Rutenberg; and the Canada Hub at the University of Ottawa Faculty of Law, coordinated by De Beer and Oguamanam. Contact can be made with these Hubs and Hub Coordinators via the aforementioned Open A.I.R. website Team page.

Also integral to the success of the network are its nine Fellows, each of whom has spent time at the UCT IP Unit in Cape Town. The Fellows have contributed to Open A.I.R.'s case study and foresight research, to outreach and training work, and to building the network. The nine Fellows are: Esther Ngom of the Ngo Nyemeck law firm in Yaoundé; Seble Baraki of the Justice and Legal System Research Institute (JLSRI) in Addis Ababa; Moses Mulumba of the Centre for Health, Human Rights and Development (CEHURD) in Kampala; Douglas Gichuki of CIPIT in Nairobi; Milton Lore of Bridgeworks Africa in Nairobi; Eliamani Laltaika of the Tanzania Intellectual Property Rights Network (TIP-Net) in Dar es Salaam; Alexandra Mogyoros, a student in the Faculty of Law at the University of Ottawa; West Africa Hub Coordinator Helen Chuma-Okoro of NIALS in Lagos; and North Africa Hub Coordinator Nagham El Houssamy of A2K4D in Cairo.

Other collaborating institutions are the Program on Information Justice and Intellectual Property (PIJIP) at the Washington College of Law at American University in Washington, DC; the Centre for Technology and Society (CTS) in Brazil; the Centre for Internet and Society (CIS) in India; and the Open Society Foundations, where Open A.I.R.'s key partner is Vera Franz. The Open A.I.R. network has also benefited from interaction with staff at the World Intellectual Property Organisation (WIPO) headquarters in Geneva. In London, Shirin Elahi of Scenarios Architecture is the driver of Open A.I.R. foresight research work, as featured in the aforementioned *Scenarios* compendium that provides an important forward-looking complement to the current picture offered by this volume. Jo Higgs of Go Trolley Films in Cape Town did post-production on the videos available on the Open A.I.R. YouTube channel – videos which show how the network came into being and how the research was conceptualised. All the people and institutions mentioned here have in one way or another played a role, by collaborating within the Open A.I.R. network, in the conceptualisation, planning, data collection, data analysis, writing, editing, design and production processes that resulted in successful research and the completion of this book. It is hoped that this volume's free availability online, under a Creative Commons (CC) licence, will ensure that the book's collaborative dynamics do not end here at the moment of publication, and continue long into the future in the work of the still-growing Open A.I.R. community.

Jeremy de Beer, Chris Armstrong, Chidi Oguamanam, Tobias Schonwetter September 2013

About the Editors

Prof. Jeremy de Beer is an Associate Professor in the Faculty of Law, University of Ottawa, and co-Principal Investigator of the Open African Innovation Research and Training (Open A.I.R.) Project. His edited books include *Access to Knowledge in Africa: The Role of Copyright* (UCT Press, 2010), and *Implementing the World Intellectual Property Organization's Development Agenda* (Wilfred Laurier University Press, 2009). jeremy.debeer@uottawa.ca

Dr Chris Armstrong is a Visiting Researcher at the LINK Centre, University of the Witwatersrand (Wits), Johannesburg, publishing and communications consultant for the Open African Innovation Research and Training (Open A.I.R.) Project, and former Research Manager of the African Copyright and Access to Knowledge (ACA2K) Project. He is an editor of *Access to Knowledge in Africa: The Role of Copyright* (UCT Press, 2010). c.g.armstrong@gmail.com

Dr Chidi Oguamanam is an Associate Professor in the Faculty of Law, University of Ottawa, a lawyer with Blackfriars LLP in Lagos, a co-investigator for the Open African Innovation Research and Training (Open A.I.R.) Project, and former Director of the Law and Technology Institute at Dalhousie University, Halifax, N.S., Canada. He is author of the books *Intellectual Property in Global Governance* (Routledge, 2012) and *International Law and Indigenous Knowledge* (University of Toronto Press, 2010). coguaman@uottawa.ca

Dr Tobias Schonwetter is Director of the IP Unit in the Faculty of Law, University of Cape Town (UCT), African Regional Coordinator for Creative Commons (CC), co-Principal Investigator for the Open African Innovation Research and Training (Open A.I.R.) Project, and former co-Principal Investigator for the African Copyright and Access to Knowledge (ACA2K) Project. He is an editor of *Access to Knowledge in Africa: The Role of Copyright* (UCT Press, 2010). tobiasschonwetter@gmail.com

About the Contributors

Dr Perihan Abou Zeid is a Senior Lecturer in the Faculty of Legal Studies and International Relations, Pharos University in Alexandria (PUA), a Post-doctoral Fellow in the Centre of Economic Law and Governance at Vrije University, Brussels, and a volunteer attorney for Public Interest Intellectual Property Advisors (PIIPA). perihan.abouzeid@pua.edu.eg

Lucienne Abrahams is Director of the LINK Centre, University of the Witwatersrand (Wits), Johannesburg, and a former board member of South Africa's National Advisory Council on Innovation (NACI) and National Research Foundation (NRF). luciennesa@gmail.com

Prof. Adebambo Adewopo is a Professor of Law at the Nigerian Institute of Advanced Legal Studies (NIALS), Abuja, a Principal Partner at L&A Legal Consultants, Lagos, and former Director-General of the Nigerian Copyright Commission (NCC). tonade@yahoo.com

Titi Akinsanmi is Policy and Government Relations Manager at Google, Johannesburg, an African Regional Representative on the At-Large Advisory Committee of the Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers (ICANN), and a former Research Associate at the LINK Centre, University of the Witwatersrand (Wits), Johannesburg. titi.akinsanmi@gmail.com

Prof. Njoku Ola Ama is an Associate Professor in the Department of Statistics, University of Botswana, Gaborone. amano@mopipi.ub.bw

Dr Bassem Awad is a Judge at the Appeal Court of Egypt, an Adjunct Professor in the Faculty of Law at the University of Western Ontario, London, Ont., Canada, and a Tutor at the Academy of the World Intellectual Property Organisation (WIPO). awad_bassem@hotmail.com

Wondwossen Belete is President of the Society for Technology Studies (STS), Addis Ababa, former Manager of International Creativity and Innovation Development Support Services (ICIDSS), and former Director of IP Protection and Technology Transfer at the Ethiopian Intellectual Property Office (EIPO). wondwossenbel@yahoo.com

Helen Chuma-Okoro is a Research Fellow at the Nigerian Institute of Advanced Legal Studies (NIALS), Lagos, a Research Fellow of the Open African Innovation Research and Training (Open A.I.R.) Project, and Coordinator of Open A.I.R.'s West Africa hub in Lagos. helenchuma@gmail.com **Gino Cocchiaro** is a lawyer with Natural Justice: Lawyers for Communities and the Environment, Cape Town, and a former Legal Researcher at the International Development Law Organisation (IDLO), Rome. gino@naturaljustice.org

Dr Tesh Dagne is an Assistant Professor in the Faculty of Law, Thompson Rivers University, Kamloops, B.C., Canada. tdagne@tru.ca

Fernando dos Santos is Director-General of the African Regional Intellectual Property Organisation (ARIPO), Harare, a Tutor at the World Intellectual Property Organisation (WIPO) Worldwide Academy, Geneva, a former Lecturer in Law at Eduardo Mondlane University (UEM) and the Technical University of Mozambique, Maputo, and former Director-General of the Mozambican Industrial Property Institute (IPI). fsantos@aripo.org

Kristen Holman is a JD candidate in the Faculty of Law, University of Ottawa. kristen.holman@gmail.com

Dr Dick Kawooya is an Assistant Professor in the School of Library and Information Science, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC, and former Lead Researcher of the African Copyright and Access to Knowledge (ACA2K) Project. He is an editor of *Access to Knowledge in Africa: The Role of Copyright*, (UCT Press, 2010). kawooya@sc.edu

Johan Lorenzen is an LLB candidate at the University of Cape Town (UCT) and a former consultant with Natural Justice: Lawyers for Communities and the Environment. yolorenz@gmail.com

Dr Bernard Maister is a Senior Research Fellow in the IP Unit, Faculty of Law, University of Cape Town (UCT), practised as an IP (patent) lawyer in the US, and is one of the authors of *Harnessing Intellectual Property Rights for Development Objectives* (Wolf Legal, 2011). maisterb@gmail.com

Dr Ikechi Mgbeoji is an Associate Professor at Osgoode Hall Law School, York University, Toronto, and a lawyer with Blackfriars LLP, Lagos. His authored books include *Global Biopiracy* (UBC Press, 2006). ikechimgbeoji@osgoode.yorku.ca

Dr Caroline Ncube is an Associate Professor, and Deputy Director of the IP Unit, in the Faculty of Law, University of Cape Town (UCT), and lectures in the Master of IP programme of the World Intellectual Property Organisation (WIPO) and African Regional Intellectual Property Organisation (ARIPO) at Africa University, Mutare, Zimbabwe. caroline.ncube@uct.ac.za

Dr Marisella Ouma is Executive Director of the Kenya Copyright Board (KECOBO), Nairobi, an Advocate of the High Court of Kenya, has taught IP Law at the University of Nairobi, and lectures in the Master of IP programme of the World Intellectual Property Organisation (WIPO) and African Regional

Intellectual Property Organisation (ARIPO) at Africa University, Mutare, Zimbabwe. mwarsie@justice.com

Dr Adejoke Oyewunmi is a Senior Lecturer in the Faculty of Law, University of Lagos (Unilag), Akoka, and a former Adjunct Lecturer in the Master of IP programme of the World Intellectual Property Organisation (WIPO) and African Regional Intellectual Property Organisation (ARIPO) at Africa University, Mutare, Zimbabwe. aoyewunmi@unilag.edu.ng, adejoke21@yahoo.com

Simão Pelembe is a legal advisor at Petróleos de Mozambique (Petromoc), Maputo, a Master's candidate in Business Law at Instituto Superior de Ciências e Tecnologia de Moçambique (ISCTEM), and serves on the boards of Petromoc group companies Petrogás, Somotor, Petroauto, Ecomoz and Somyoung Motors. simao.pelembe@petromoc.co.mz

Dr Nagla Rizk is Professor of Economics at the School of Business, The American University in Cairo (AUC), founding Director of the Access to Knowledge for Development Center (A2K4D) at AUC, a Faculty Associate at Harvard University's Berkman Center for Internet and Society, an affiliated Fellow of Yale University's Information Society Project (ISP), and a founding member of the Access to Knowledge Global Academy. She is co-editor of, and contributor to, *Access to Knowledge in Egypt: New Research on Intellectual Property, Innovation and Development* (Bloomsbury Academic, 2010), and a co-author of *Arab Knowledge Report 2009.* naglarzk@aucegypt.edu

Britta Rutert is a PhD candidate in Anthropology at the Free University of Berlin and a Researcher for Natural Justice: Lawyers for Communities and the Environment in Bushbuckridge, South Africa. britta.rutert@gmail.com

Prof. Ben Sihanya teaches at the University of Nairobi Law School, is CEO of Innovative Lawyering and Sihanya Mentoring, Nairobi, and is former Dean of Law and Chair of the Department of Commercial Law at the University of Nairobi. His edited books include *Intellectual Property Rights in Kenya* (Konrad Adenauer Stiftung, 2009), and he is the author of *Intellectual Property and Innovation in Kenya and Africa* (Innovative Lawyering and Sihanya Mentoring, 2013) and *Presidency and Administrative Bureaucracy in Kenya* (forthcoming, 2013). sihanyamentoring@gmail.com, sihanya@innovativelawyering.com

Izabella Sowa is a JD candidate in the Faculty of Law, University of Ottawa. sowa.izabella@gmail.com

Acronyms and Abbreviations

A2K	access to knowledge
A2K4D	Access to Knowledge for Development Center (The American
	University in Cairo, Egypt)
AAU	Addis Ababa University
ABS	access and benefit-sharing
ACA2K	African Copyright and Access to Knowledge Project
ACP	African, Caribbean and Pacific Group of States
ACTS	African Centre for Technology Studies (Kenya)
ADPP	Ajuda de Desenvolvimento de Povo para Povo (Mozambique)
AERC	African Economic Research Consortium
AFTE	Association for the Freedom of Thought and Expression (Egypt)
AGOA	African Growth and Opportunity Act
AIM	Agência de Informação de Moçambique
AmCham	American Chamber of Commerce (Egypt)
ARC	Aquaculture Research Centre (Egypt)
ARIPO	African Regional Intellectual Property Organisation
ASSAf	Academy of Sciences of South Africa
ASTII	African Science, Technology and Innovation Indicators
ATO	alternative trading organisation
ATPC	African Trade Policy Centre
ATPS	African Technology Policy Studies Network
AU	African Union
AUC	The American University in Cairo
B-BBEE Act	Broad-Based Black Economic Empowerment Act 53 of 2003 (South Africa)
BCP	bio-cultural community protocol
BIH	Botswana Innovation Hub
BMZ	Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development
	(Germany)
BoI	Bank of Industry (Nigeria)
BOTEC	Botswana Technology Centre
BPR	business process re-engineering
CAA	Cocoa Abrabopa Association (Ghana)
CARICOM	Caribbean Community
CBD	Convention on Biological Diversity
CBN	Central Bank of Nigeria

CC	Creative Commons
CCIA	Computer and Communications Industry Association
CEDAT	College of Engineering, Design, Art and Technology (Makerere
	University, Uganda)
CEHURD	Centre for Health, Human Rights and Development (Uganda)
CEPIL	Centre for Public Interest Law (Ghana)
CIGI	Centre for International Governance Innovation
CIPC	Companies and Intellectual Property Commission (South Africa)
CIPIT	Centre for IP and IT Law (Strathmore University, Kenya)
CIPO	Canadian Intellectual Property Office
CIPR	Commission on Intellectual Property Rights (UK)
СМО	collective management organisation
COCOBOD	Ghana Cocoa Board
CPD	Centre for Policy Dialogue (Nigeria)
CRTT	Centre for Research in Transportation Technologies (Makerere
	University, Uganda)
CSIR	Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (India)
CTEA	Copyright Term Extension Act (US)
CVCP	Committee of Vice-Chancellors and Principals (UK)
DACST	Department of Arts, Culture, Science and Technology (South Africa)
DEST	Department of Education, Science and Training (Australia)
DFID	Department for International Development (UK)
DHET	Department of Higher Education and Training (South Africa)
DNS	domain name system
DRC	Democratic Republic of Congo
DRM	digital rights management
DRST	Department of Research, Science and Technology (Botswana)
DST	Department of Science and Technology (South Africa)
DTI	Department of Trade and Industry (South Africa)
EAEP	East African Educational Publishers (Kenya)
EC	European Commission
ECBP	Engineering Capacity Building Program (Ethiopia)
ECOWAS	Economic Community of West African States
ECX	Ethiopia Commodity Exchange
EEAA	Egyptian Environmental Affairs Agency
EIPO	Ethiopian Intellectual Property Office
EIPRL	Egyptian Intellectual Property Rights Law
EPA	Environmental Protection Authority (Ethiopia)
EPO	European Patent Office
EST	environmentally sound technology

EU	European Union
EUEI	EU Energy Initiative
Eurostat	Statistical Office of the European Communities
FAO	UN Food and Agriculture Organisation
FCN	Friendship, Commerce and Navigation (Kenya)
FDI	foreign direct investment
FDRE	Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia
FDSE	Free Day Secondary Education (Kenya)
FES	Friedrich Ebert Stiftung (Germany)
FLO	Fairtrade Labelling Organisations International
FOSS	free and open source software
FPE	Free Primary Education (Kenya)
FTA	free trade agreement
GDP	gross domestic product
GEM	Global Entrepreneurship Monitor
GERD	gross expenditure on research and development
GI	geographical indication
GIPC	Global Intellectual Property Center
GIZ	Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit
	(Germany)
GM	genetically modified
GOAN	Ghana Organic Agriculture Network
GOK	Government of Kenya
GR	genetic resources
GTZ	German Technical Cooperation
HSRC	Human Sciences Research Council (South Africa)
ICANN	Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers
ICIDSS	International Creativity and Innovation Development Support
	Services (Ethiopia)
ICJ	International Commission of Jurists
ICLS	International Conference of Labour Statisticians
ICPSK	Institute of Chartered Public Secretaries of Kenya
ICT	information and communication technology
ICT4D	ICT for development
ICTSD	International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development
IDC	Industrial Development Corporation (South Africa)
IDLO	International Development Law Organisation
IDRC	International Development Research Centre (Canada)
IDS	Institute of Development Studies (Kenya)
IE	informal economy

IFC	International Finance Corporation
IICA	Inter-American Institute for Cooperation on Agriculture
IIDMM	Institute of Infectious Disease and Molecular Medicine (South Africa)
IIED	International Institute for Environment and Development
IIPA	International Intellectual Property Alliance
IISD	International Institute for Sustainable Development
ILC	indigenous and local community
ILO	International Labour Organisation
INAO	Institut national des appellations d'origine (France)
IP	intellectual property
IPA	Industrial Property Act (Botswana)
IPC	International Patent Classification
IPI	Industrial Property Institute (Mozambique)
IPR-PFRD Act	Intellectual Property Rights from Publicly Financed Research
	and Development Act (South Africa)
IRB	Institutional Review Board (Botswana)
IRENA	International Renewable Energy Agency
ISAS	integrated seawater agriculture system
ISCTEM	Instituto Superior de Ciências e Tecnologia de Moçambique
ISI	Institute for Scientific Information
ISO	International Organisation for Standardisation
ISP	Information Society Project (Yale University, US)
ITC	International Trade Centre
JBEDC	Japan Bio-Energy Development Corporation
JITAP	Joint Integrated Technical Assistance Programme
JLSRI	Justice and Legal System Research Institute (Ethiopia)
K2C Biosphere	Kruger to Canyons Biosphere (South Africa)
KE	knowledge economy
KECOBO	Kenya Copyright Board
KENFAA	Kenya Nonfiction and Academic Authors' Association
KES	Kenyan Shilling
KHA	Kenya Historical Association
KICD	Kenya Institute of Curriculum Development
KIPI	Kenya Industrial Property Institute
KIPPRA	Kenya Institute for Public Policy Research and Analysis
KNAS	Kenya National Academy of Sciences
KOLA	Kenya Oral Literature Association
KTO	knowledge transfer office
LBC	Licensed Buying Company (Ghana)
LDC	least developed country

LE	Egyptian Pound
LINK Centre	Learning Information Networking Knowledge Centre (Wits
	University, South Africa)
LSK	Law Society of Kenya
MAN	Manufacturers Association of Nigeria
MANCAP	Mandatory Conformity Assessment Programme (Nigeria)
MCH	Maasai Cultural Heritage Organisation (Kenya)
MCST	Ministry of Communications, Science and Technology
	(Botswana)
MCT	Ministério da Ciência e Tecnologia (Mozambique)
MDCA	Malindi District Cultural Association (Kenya)
MDG	Millennium Development Goal
MEA	Multilateral Environmental Agreement
MIST	Ministry of Infrastructure, Science and Technology (Botswana)
MIT	Massachusetts Institute of Technology
MOA	Ministry of Agriculture (Ethiopia)
MOE	Ministry of Education (Ethiopia)
MOFA	Ministry of Food and Agriculture (Ghana)
MoFED	Ministry of Finance and Economic Development (Ethiopia)
MOST	Ministry of Science and Technology (Ethiopia)
MoU	memorandum of understanding
MRC	Medical Research Council (South Africa)
Natoil	Natural Oil Company (Egypt)
NACI	National Advisory Council on Innovation (South Africa)
NCC	Nigerian Copyright Commission
NDA	non-disclosure agreement
NEP	National Enquiry Point (Botswana)
NEPAD	New Partnership for Africa's Development
NESC	National Economic and Social Council (Kenya)
NESTI	National Experts on Science and Technology Indicators
NIALS	Nigerian Institute of Advanced Legal Studies
NRF	National Research Foundation (South Africa)
NGO	non-governmental organisation
NIALS	Nigerian Institute of Advanced Legal Studies
NIPMO	National Intellectual Property Management Office (South Africa)
NIS	national innovation system
NMIMS	Narsee Monjee Institute of Management Studies (India)
NPR	National Public Radio (US)
NPSB	National Policy and Strategy on Biofuels (Mozambique)
NRC	National Research Centre (Egypt)

NREA	New and Renewable Energy Authority (Egypt)
NWLR	Nigerian Weekly Law Report
OA	open access
OAPI	Organisation africaine de la propriété intellectuelle
OCEES	Oxford Centre for the Environment, Ethics and Society
OCFCU	Oromia Coffee Farmers Cooperative Union (Ethiopia)
ODEL	open, distance and electronic learning
ODI	Overseas Development Institute (UK)
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
OER	open educational resource
Open A.I.R.	Open African Innovation Research and Training Project
ORD	Office of Research and Development (Botswana)
PBIP	place-based intellectual property
РСТ	Patent Cooperation Treaty
Petromoc	Petróleos de Mozambique
PIIPA	Public Interest Intellectual Property Advisors (US)
PIJIP	Program on Information Justice and Intellectual Property
	(American University, US)
PPS	probability proportional to size
PRO	public research organisation
ProBEC	Programme for Basic Energy and Conservation in Southern Africa
R&D	research and development
RCIPS	Research Contracts and IP Services unit (UCT, South Africa)
RIPCO (B)	Rural Industrial Promotion Company (Botswana)
RMI	rights management information
SADC	Southern African Development Community
SARUA	Southern African Regional Universities Association
SCE	Society for Critical Exchange (Kenya)
SID	Society for International Development (Kenya)
SINER-GI	Strengthening International Research on Geographical Indications
SME	small and medium enterprise
SMIEIS	Small and Medium Industries Equity Investments Scheme (Nigeria)
SMME	small, micro and medium enterprise
SNA	social network analysis
SON	Standards Organisation of Nigeria
SPS	sanitary and phytosanitary measures
STCI	Science and Technology Capacity Index
STEP	Science Technology and Economic Policy (US)
STI	science, technology and innovation
STS	Society for Technology Studies (Ethiopia)

SVKM	Shri Vile Parle Kalamani Mandal (India)
TBT	technical barriers to trade
TCE	traditional cultural expression
TGE	Transitional Government of Ethiopia
THE	Times Higher Education (UK)
THRIP	Technology and Human Resources Programme (South Africa)
TIA	Technology Innovation Agency (South Africa)
TIP-Net	Tanzania Intellectual Property Rights Network
TISC	Technology and Innovation Support Center
ТК	traditional knowledge
TKDL	Traditional Knowledge Digital Library (India)
TPMs	technological protection measures
TRIPS	Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights
TTO	technology transfer office
TVET	Technical and Vocational Education and Training (Ethiopia)
UB	University of Botswana
UCC	Universal Copyright Convention
UCITA	Uniform Computer Information Transactions Act (US)
UCT	University of Cape Town (South Africa)
UEM	Eduardo Mondlane University (Mozambique)
UGT	Uganda Gatsby Trust
UK	United Kingdom
UM	utility model
UNCST	Uganda National Council for Science and Technology
UNCTAD	UN Commission on Trade and Development
UNDESA	UN Department of Economic and Social Affairs
UNDP	UN Development Programme
UNECA	UN Economic Commission for Africa
UNEP	UN Environment Programme
UNESCAP	UN Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific
UNESCO	UN Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation
UNFCCC	UN Framework Convention on Climate Change
UNICAMP	University of Campinas (Brazil)
UNIDO	UN Industrial Development Organisation
Unilag	University of Lagos
US	United States
USAID	US Agency for International Development
USPTO	US Patent and Trademark Office
WAK	Writers Association of Kenya
WATH	West Africa Trade Hub

World Business Council for Sustainable Development
WIPO Copyright Treaty
World Economic Forum
World Employment Programme
World Health Organisation
World Intellectual Property Organisation
University of the Witwatersrand (South Africa)
WIPO Patent Information Service
WIPO Performances and Phonograms Treaty
World Trade Organisation
South African Rand

Chapter 3

Informal–Formal Sector Interactions in Automotive Engineering, Kampala

Dick Kawooya

Abstract

This chapter provides findings from a Ugandan case study that examined innovation transfers between informal-sector automotive artisans and formally employed researchers at Makerere University's College of Engineering, Design, Art and Technology (CEDAT). The primary site studied was CEDAT's Gatsby Garage, an automotive workshop where it was found that the informal-sector artisans were central to innovative processes but were at the same time driven more by sharing impulses than by concern for the intellectual property (IP) implications of their work. Based on these findings, it is argued that Ugandan policy-makers need to seek policy tools to support innovation transfers between informal and informal sectors, and that the tools need to cater for a wide range of innovation incentives.

1. Introduction

Very little research has focused on the dynamics of intellectual property (IP) rights in Africa's informal sector. No research has, to my knowledge, analysed the role or impact of IP rights in the exchange or transfer of innovation between the formal and informal sectors in Africa. Seeking to fill this research gap, the study outlined in this chapter examined the nature and scope of transfers and diffusion of innovative ideas in the Ugandan capital city, Kampala, between a Makerere University research centre and informal-sector artisans involved in automotive mechanics and engineering. The findings of the study are potentially important because there is reason to believe that indigenous technology research and innovations generated locally in Uganda (and more generally in Africa) are increasingly competing with research and innovations sourced from outside the continent, which are often inappropriate or ill-suited to local circumstances. At the same time, it would seem that the formal and informal sectors in Africa often do not collaborate or "talk" to each other, as evidenced by the large body of African research and innovations that remain underutilised and not communicated beyond the confines of African universities (Hassan, 2001; Lor and Britz, 2005; Ondari-Okemwa, 2007; Ondari-Okemwa, 2004).

Disparaging portrayals of the informal sector in Africa (see Hart, 1973; ILO, 1972) do not encourage researchers in formal institutions to pay much attention to what their informal counterparts do. Communication by formal researchers with informal sector actors tends to be limited to occasional interactions in instances of research data collection or sourcing of specific skills. I am of the understanding that national government policies facilitating collaboration between Africa's formal and informal sectors are rare and, in some places, totally absent. I therefore anticipate that the findings of this study can potentially provide lessons relevant to the crafting of policy initiatives aimed at fostering research and collaboration between the formal and informal sectors in African nations, specifically in relation to innovations grounded in (and appropriate to) Africa's realities.

2. Conceptual framework

Dualistic conceptions of formal and informal

In defining formal and informal sectors in the context of this study, I focused on the elements that characterise each sector. Informal-sector activities are sometimes defined as those outside or beyond government regulatory reach. They are broadly defined to include, but are not limited to, activities not liable to taxation. Formal institutions and sectors, meanwhile, are broadly defined as those within the reach of government regulation or even government agencies themselves.

Another potential means of distinguishing between the informal and formal sectors is to highlight how they differ from each other, e.g.:

- automated production (formal) v. intensive labour (informal);
- high barriers to entry (formal) v. low barriers to entry (informal);
- new materials (formal) v. scrap materials (informal);
- large-sized business (formal) v. small-sized business (informal);
- skills acquisition via institutions (formal) v. via a mentor (informal); and
- foreign "Western" approach (formal) v. adaptable to market and indigenous culture (informal) (Daniels, 2010; ILO, 1972; Palmer, 2004; Tabak, 2000).¹

¹ I thank David Gildiner for helping expand this list of elements typically used to define the informal sector.

These dualistic characterisations and framings of the formal versus informal sector may be helpful at the broad conceptual level, but the reality in many African contexts is that the line between the formal and informal sectors is blurred. A rigid binary cannot capture the highly complex nature of the interrelationships between the formal and informal sectors, and between these sectors and the broader economy, in many African contexts. Moreover, despite numerous informal sector studies conducted in Latin America, Africa and some advanced economies (Palmer, 2004; Portes, 1983; Portes and Sassen-Koob, 1987), there is actually little agreement on the nature and scope of the informal sector. Conceptualising the informal sector continues to be difficult due to the sector's fluidity and constant interaction with the formal sector. Indeed, the dualistic framing of formal versus informal has been discredited and discarded, to some extent, by many informal sector scholars (ILO, 2002, 2003; Palmer, 2004; Portes, 1983; Portes and Sassen-Koob, 1987). (See Chapter 2 of this volume for discussion, by De Beer *et al.*, of the concept of the informal economy [IE].)

In practical terms, the formal and informal sectors interact symbiotically. For example, a government department's use of an informal car repair shop for its fleet illustrates a form of interaction between the formal sector (government) and an informal-sector entity (repair shop). Another example is the practical training that informal-sector enterprises provide to students of formal research and training institutions. Both examples illustrate the potential for knowledge transfer between the two sectors. And formal sector entities – e.g. music recording studios – may periodically operate underground to avoid or limit their tax burden.

A formal-informal continuum

Based on the above analysis, it can be argued that it is more appropriate to conceptualise a *continuum* from formal to informal, where activities with varying degrees of informality are situated at different points along the continuum. This view is consistent with the conclusions of International Labour Organisation (ILO) studies on labour issues in the informal sector (ILO, 2002, 2003; Palmer, 2004). At the most formal end of the continuum are fully documented, regulated and taxed enterprises; at the most informal end of the continuum are entirely hidden, underground enterprises far removed from government oversight and often associated with illegal activities. But along the continuum between formal and informal, between the extreme ends, there is a mix of actors and enterprises whose characteristics are complex and often difficult to measure in terms of their degrees of formality or informality. For this study, I was interested in informal-sector enterprises somewhat near the middle of the continuum – i.e. likely to be well-organised internally and in the conduct of their business operations but still operating outside formal government oversight – but at the same time, enterprises which, while informal, have some contact with the formal (or at least more formal) sector.

Choosing a point on the continuum

I determined that, in the Ugandan context, studying interactions between a formal university research institution (positioned to serve as an engine of research and innovation) and informal sector actors would offer insights, particularly given my intention to investigate matters of IP. I anticipated that, in the Ugandan context, university researchers and innovators, including both faculty and students, would be interacting with informal-sectors through a wide range of mechanisms. My choice of research focus was informed by awareness of anecdotal evidence suggesting that in African contexts, a number of quite formalised institutions partially rely on the informal sector, e.g. to, *inter alia*, absorb their graduates (a process of informal-sector engagement often starting via internships for graduates). I was interested in the effect that fresh graduates coming to the informal sector (with somewhat formalised knowledge and know-how) might be having on the informal sector and, in turn, the effect the informal sector might be having on the formal institutions from which the graduates were emerging.

From the formal sector side, I was interested in understanding whether university IP-related research and innovation were being diffused into the informal sector – and whether (and if so, how) that IP-related innovation translated into commercially viable goods and/or services in the informal sector (a sector which, unlike a publicly funded university, operates on the basis of profit maximisation for survival). However, while the goal was to focus on IP-related innovations, I did not expect or assume ahead of the study that the innovations in question would be protected by IP laws or, for that matter, that the actors in the formal or informal contexts to be studied would even be aware of, or preoccupied with, IP as part of their innovation processes. Nonetheless, I did assume that there were a number of innovations that originated in Ugandan universities and that some of the resultant goods and/or services were finding their way into the informal sector (such as, to take but one possible example, software applications developed in university research laboratories). Thus, the overarching goal was to gain a nuanced understanding of the innovation dynamics moving in two directions: from formal to (the relatively) informal, and *vice versa*.

3. The research

The overarching question for this case study was: To what extent do the formal and informal sectors in Uganda exchange ideas and innovations, and what is the *role of IP, if any, in that exchange?* The study's primary objectives were therefore to understand:

- the kinds of innovations that formal and informal sector actors are involved in;
- the processes of transfer of innovation (and IP) between the two sectors;
- the role of IP rights and IP protection in either facilitating or hindering innovation in either sector; and
- the role of IP rights and IP protection in either facilitating or hindering the exchange of ideas and innovations between the two sectors.

The main setting I identified for the study was Gatsby Garage, run by Makerere University's College of Engineering, Design, Art and Technology (CEDAT) in the Ugandan capital city, Kampala. Gatsby Garage is a formal-sector entity that procures some of its inputs from informal-sector artisans. The study employed a qualitative methodology using elements of the social network analysis (SNA) method. The SNA method, which has been applied in a variety of disciplines, aims to construct a picture of the complex networks that form based on individual and organisational relationships, collaborations and sharing mechanisms. The SNA in this study focused on the personal network of a formal-sector innovator as the starting point, followed by identifying informal-sector nodes and relations, as well as the channels and mechanisms for the diffusion of innovation from formal to informal sector, or vice versa (Hanneman and Riddle, 2005; Hanneman et al., 2005). Given that this study began in the formal sector (at Makerere University), innovators in that sector formed the core of the network. I then identified and approached the informal-sector component via the Gatsby Garage manager. (It was found during the research that the title "Garage manager" did not fully capture the wide range of activities, skill-sets, experience - and passion for his work – of this individual.)

In determining which actors or nodes to include in the network, I used the "egocentric networks" approach, where a central "node" is selected followed by identifying nodes around it (Hanneman and Riddle, 2005). This approach does not require determination and complete analysis of the network around the central node. Therefore, in both the formal and informal contexts studied by this research, the depth of the network was largely determined by the extent of the ties and the strength of the nodes that emerged. For the most part, I did not go beyond two layers of analysis, meaning that from the central actor (the formal-sector contact, the Garage manager) or "ego", I elected to go to two layers of nodes (Hanneman and Riddle, 2005; Hanneman *et al.*, 2005). I initially selected informal-sector contacts directly linked to the Garage manager, followed by contacts of those informal-sector actors, many of whom were not in direct contact with the Garage manager (see diagram in the Appendix). At each node or alter,

I conducted in-depth qualitative interviews that probed not only the connections or relationships that a given individual had, but also the kinds of innovative activities in which the individual engaged. I also inquired about the factors that influenced the individual's innovations, including the adoption and exchange of innovations by others.

The study focused on product engineering, reengineering and metal fabrication by informal artisans, with specific reference to automotive engineering and repair. The interconnectedness of informal-sector artisans in Uganda means that those whose primary work area is automotive engineering and repair will often shift to other related work as opportunities present themselves. It is also not unusual for them to outsource work to colleagues outside of automotive repair. Therefore, the unstructured nature of the relationships among informal-sector artisans required that a non-linear methodology like SNA be used to study the linkages and exchanges amongst them.

Gatsby Garage

Gatsby Garage is a project of Makerere University's CEDAT, with funds from the Uganda Gatsby Trust (UGT), a UK-funded non-governmental organisation (NGO). The Garage, a semi-formal entity, was seen as a suitable research site for this study because both faculty and students at CEDAT use it, especially those involved in automotive engineering research and design; students use the Garage as an internship facility and a place to translate their models into products; and the Garage actively employs graduates from CEDAT as well as informal-sector artisans. The aforementioned Garage manager, who has over 10 years of experience in the field, is a graduate of CEDAT (formerly Makerere's Faculty of Technology). The UGT is a member of the Gatsby Charitable Foundation in the UK, and its focus is on small enterprise development and innovation (see UGT, n.d.). UGT's organisational structure and leadership mix private technology-industry leaders with senior faculty at CEDAT, seeking to ensure responsiveness to industry needs as well as CEDAT's research priorities (see CEDAT, n.d.).

One of the network connections discovered in pursuing the Gatsby Garage manager's associations in the informal sector was a connection to an electronic vehicle project at CEDAT called the Kiira EV Project. The Kiira EV, developed by CEDAT's Centre for Research in Transportation Technologies (CRTT), is a prototype electric car designed and developed in Uganda by engineering students and faculty at CEDAT – probably the first of its kind in East and Central Africa (see CRTT, n.d.). Of interest in the context of this study was the fact that some of the informal artisans working for Gatsby Garage were involved in the actual fabrication and production of some parts for this prototype car, with the parts based

on designs from the engineering team at CEDAT. Further, some informal-sector artisans not directly connected to CEDAT were also involved in the production of some parts of the car, via their connections to informal-sector artisans working directly with CEDAT. I thus decided to interview the Technical Head and Manager of the Kiira EV Project, in order to better understand the innovations associated with the project and its connections with the informal sector.

Data collection

A qualitative interview instrument was developed for the interviews at each node, as well as a consent form to secure informed respondent participation. I received permission to conduct the study from the Ugandan Government through the Uganda National Council for Science and Technology (UNCST). Data were collected using a mix of written memos and notes, as well as audio and video recordings. The field work began with informal discussions with researchers at CEDAT,² followed by 11 in-depth interviews with informal-sector artisans, CEDAT researchers and a government official (the official responsible for science, technology and innovation [STI] at the UNCST). All of the interviewees were male, largely due to the fact that both the formal and informal automotive research and fabrication settings investigated were virtually all-male environments.

Interviews with artisans were always secured and coordinated by the Gatsby Garage manager. His insistence on introducing me to the artisans to establish rapport was immensely important. Interactions with artisans generally took the form of an interview, followed by a site visit to witness the artisan's activities. The initial interviews were generally done inside the manager's car, away from the artisans' garages or fabrication facilities. The site visits that followed the initial interviews were carried out without the presence of the Garage manager.

4. Findings

The research data provided clear indications that the formal and informal actors studied were exchanging ideas and/or innovations, often via Gatsby Garage. At the same time, the role of IP protection issues was found to be minimal in the actions and thinking of the informal artisans. IP only became an issue on occasions when formal-sector entities raised IP matters in the course of sharing their innovations

² I was introduced to CEDAT researchers by Prof. Robert Ikoja-Odongo, who availed me of his extensive contacts (deriving from his work on the informal sector), which proved invaluable in finding the appropriate contacts (including the Gatsby Garage manager) to start the SNA work.

with informal-sector entities, as was the case when CEDAT outsourced some of the work on the Kiira project to artisans (as is described later).

Innovative work in the formal and informal sectors

Much of the innovation in the sector studied stemmed from the undersupply of affordable spare automobile parts imported from Japan. Parts available through formal-sector outlets, such as from local representatives of Japanese automakers, are generally too expensive for owners of used cars. This shortage of affordable parts presents opportunities for artisans to find solutions to fixing broken parts or fabricating new ones. Most cars imported into Uganda operate on rough roads, making the breakdown of cars and car parts fairly common. This environment creates a significant demand for the services of informal-sector artisans skilled in automotive engineering and repair.

The research found a great deal of innovation among both the formal-sector and informal-sector actors studied. Formal-sector Makerere staff and researchers affiliated with the semi-formal Gatsby Garage were found to have developed products such as carriers to enable inspection under the vehicle, a movable stand for proper handling of car engines, and a computer-aided system for managing vehicle maintenance projects at Gatsby Garage. In terms of the informal sector, there was evidence that the artisans affiliated with Gatsby Garage were finding solutions to a wide range of problems. The artisans were involved in activities that ranged from maintenance of parts – e.g. fixing car radiators, aluminium welding and working with metal forgers – to interior design. It was found that the artisans' vast experience and expertise in these areas were allowing some of them to fabricate parts (via a mix of repairing old parts and creating new ones) not readily available on the Ugandan market.

Apprenticeship as a means of learning

Learning to innovate in the informal sector studied was found to be linked to apprenticeship, wherein senior artisans train new ones. There is a dynamic of generosity, a willingness to help a relative or friend. In the words of one artisan:

If I don't help relatives by training them and giving them hands-on skills to produce stuff or repair work, they will likely become a burden in future, or social misfits, or probably engage in criminal activities due to poverty and lack of skills to find jobs. Besides, I was helped by a relative, so it's imperative that I do the same for young relatives and friends. (Participant 2, 2012)

Almost all participants interviewed for this study said they had acquired skills from friends or relatives through an apprenticeship. Some acquired their skills at

semi-formal entities such as Gatsby Garage, where there is a degree of informality even in the way untrained men come to be identified as trainees. According to the manager:

It's very informal the way we get them. I mean, you know somebody and they say: "I have a son, I have somebody, please help them out", and so they come. (Garage manager, 2012)

The study found that it is common that once a young trainee or apprentice has acquired basic skills, they either establish their own garages or work in a specialised area. In either case, the nature of problems presented to them on a regular basis demands that they are constantly thinking of innovative solutions. However, according to the manager, not all artisans are open to innovation, especially innovation seen as originating from academia:

[T]here is a challenge of acceptance and adaptability. Sometimes people prefer doing things the way they are always done. They prefer to continue with the *status quo*, so introducing the new technology or machine, there is always resistance. There is that feeling that this thing [new idea or way of doing something] belongs to the "book people". They [artisans] tell us, "this isn't our thing, for us we want to work with our hands", so there is always criticism. (Garage manager, 2012)

Another reason why senior artisans train new artisans is that the latter are eager to learn and are a source of cheap labour. Once a new artisan masters a particular skill-set, the senior artisan assigns him to routine or more mundane activities. As such, young or inexperienced artisans will deal with problems that do not necessarily require new solutions, but at the same time have a degree of complexity. The senior artisan's involvement with the newer artisan is then limited to supervising and dealing with complicated tasks (particularly tasks that require new methods for dealing with new problems that have emerged, or tasks related to designing a new part).

Networks, linkages between formal and informal sectors

The social networks between the two sectors are nurtured by Gatsby Garage's commitment to informal enterprises, as well as what the Garage manager referred to as the "vast and deep talent and skills available in Uganda's informal sector" (Garage manager, 2012). The manager specifically noted that Gatsby Garage staff generally approach artisans after they have "identified a particular skill-set in someone" (Garage manager, 2012). Ideally, these are skills that they do not have in the formal sector. The same sentiments were expressed by the head of the Kiira EV Project, whose production depended largely on the experience of the artisans. While the research team at CEDAT produced the Kiira EV computer designs and

models, the project depended on informal artisans for small steps in the process, such as costing of the car materials and fabricating some car parts. As one artisan indicated:

[The Kiira EV staff] approached me to provide cost estimates for the car based on the models and I did, but they didn't come back to me, probably preferring another artisan. (Participant 6, 2012)

While the above process might appear to be a simple exercise of costing the car and sourcing the most affordable artisans for the Kiira EV Project, the fact is that the informal artisans know the market for new and used automobile parts better than the formal-sector researchers. According to Participant 6, the Kiira EV researchers were inclined to select the artisans who know the best and cheapest sources for parts for the EV model they have designed. The relationships between formal and informal actors are also based on a degree of mutual trust and respect. The formal-sector actors recognise limitations in certain areas that can only be met by the practical skill-sets found in the informal sector.

The creation of the formal–informal networks was found to be a rather informal process. For example, a Gatsby Garage client recommends a young, unemployed relative with no formal training, but with skills in vehicle maintenance. In turn, the young relative, once taken on by the Garage, refers some of his work at the Garage to places where he had formerly worked. Alternatively, the manager is informally introduced to a respected mechanic who is well known for certain areas of speciality. In other cases, artisans who had previously worked with Gatsby Garage recommend or introduce the manager to other highly skilled artisans. Put simply, the processes of formal–informal sector linkages and network creation are informal and organic. (However, I learned from Gatsby Garage and the Kiira EV Project that there are now efforts being made by researchers at Makerere to proactively and systematically identify informal-sector artisans and to co-opt them into formal research and innovation centres.)

The relationships with formal institutions are of particular importance to informal-sector artisans; a matter of personal pride as formal-sector actors come to them, rather than *vice versa*. As one participant put it, "I am proud of helping those with PhDs and more advanced training than I have [...] me without significant formal education" (Participant 5, 2012). However, this participant also acknowledged that he had learned some new skills from his formal-sector contacts, particularly soft skills such as customer care (particularly important when an informal sector artisan is dealing with formal-sector clients).

Gatsby Garage primarily outsources work to informal-sector mechanics when its employees do not have the requisite expertise or cannot do the work efficiently in-house. However, the Garage only works with the best informal-sector actors – individuals with many years of experience in a particular field. Therefore, despite their lack of formal education or training, Gatsby's informal-sector partners feel valued by the researchers in the formal sector.

Another relationship vividly described by one participant is the relationship between artisans and technology students, especially graduating students. The majority of technology students turn to informal artisans when translating product models or designs developed in their programme into finished products. As such, informal-sector garages serve as production facilities for products whose models were developed at the university. While the students proudly present the finished products to supervisors back at the university, they cannot overlook the fact that the process involved shared efforts between themselves and informal-sector artisans. In fact, there is evidence to suggest that without the ingenuity of the artisans, many of the models would remain theoretical ideas on paper.

The dynamics of the interactions among CEDAT, Gatsby Garage and the informal-sector artisans would appear to be consistent with the theoretical proposition, outlined above, of the formal–informal continuum. Gatsby Garage has had an ongoing relationship with artisans and conducts "informal" non-contractual paid work with them. Gatsby Garage represents a case of a semi-formal sector entity because it is situated at the centre – or near the centre – of the continuum. On the other hand, CEDAT is a more formal entity, fairly removed from the informal sector. Thus, certain formal–informal hybrid entities (of which Gatsby Garage is an example) can actually move along the continuum towards informal-ity and serve as conduits or bridges for highly formalised actors existing far from the centre of the continuum but needing to reach the informal sector.

The data demonstrate that, in the case studied, formal-sector researchers and innovators both require and seek out informal-sector actors more than *vice versa*. However, this does not necessarily mean that there is wide diffusion of informal-sector innovations into the formal sector. Instead, in the case studied, it may be that formal-sector researchers are primarily using informal-sector solutions on an *ad hoc* basis as problems arise, with limited learning among formal-sector innovators when they take work or problems to informal-sector artisans.

Networks, linkages within the informal sector

While the primary focus of this study was formal-informal exchanges and linkages, the study also revealed that there are strong connections among informalsector actors. For example, one of the interview participants from the informal sector who is affiliated with Gatsby Garage has a relationship with the Central Engineering Workshop (an informal-sector entity) located in Kalerwe, a suburb of Kampala. While this entity focuses primarily on agro-processing machinery (e.g. grinding mortars), most of the raw materials for the machinery produced come from automotive garages. Moreover, other parts – such as metal sheets for agro-processing machinery – can be easily sourced from automotive garages with old car body parts. Central Engineering Workshop has slightly more advanced production machinery than some of the artisans interviewed for this study. For this reason, when necessary, artisans go to Central Engineering to use machines such as rollers (which roll flat metal sheets to desired angles). Conversely, staff from Central Engineering source specific expertise from car artisans to help with the fabrication and production of their agro-processing machines. Indeed, the artisan who connected me with Central Engineering often sources work from the Workshop when he has no clients. The Workshop therefore provides a secondary source of income and opportunity for this artisan.

The data thus suggest that informal-informal connections are even more organic than the formal-informal connections. This is likely because informalsector actors are more likely to speak the same language and operate by the same rules. Moreover, many informal actors might specialise in a particular area but do not limit themselves to that area if opportunities present themselves elsewhere. Switching from one area of speciality to another can happen even during the course of a single working day.

Sharing of innovations

The research found, in the interactions between the formal- and informal-sector actors studied, that there was a great deal of freedom to share – innovations, solutions to problems, and even product designs and models – between the two sectors. While I had anticipated this situation, the extent and freedom with which both sides were sharing ideas was rather surprising, because it happened much more easily and frequently than I had anticipated. Given the complicated and competitive economic climate, I expected less sharing than was revealed in this study. It is therefore important to try to understand the rationales and motives for the sharing identified.

On the part of informal-sector artisans, it would seem that the ability to translate theoretical concepts into finished products, mostly through processes and activities that require a great deal of improvisation, is something the artisans are extremely proud of. They legitimately can (and do) portray themselves as solvers of problems that have eluded formally trained researchers in the academic setting. I even detected among the informal-sector artisans a sense of inevitability underlying the freedom with which they share their knowledge and new ideas, i.e. the artisans feel that individuals in academic settings are simply incapable of taking ideas beyond theory and applying them to existing or new problems. According to the artisans interviewed, new problems call for thinking about new solutions, which many "ivory tower" individuals are incapable of.

Also relevant to understanding the sharing impulse is consideration of the dynamics of the artisans' relationships with their clients, be they formal-sector partners or informal-sector colleagues. Artisans stated that once a client has paid for a service or product, the artisan feels obliged to explain what he did and how he did it, even if this involves disclosing new ideas, products or innovative ways of solving new problems. Almost all of the artisans interviewed expressed this view, even after repeated probing about the possibility of the formal-sector clients doing the job themselves in the future or taking artisans' innovations and commercialising them.

One artisan did say that he would be reluctant to freely and openly share his ideas, saying that if some of his innovative approaches became publicly known, client retention would be jeopardised. Another interviewee approached the matter of sharing innovation from a very practical perspective. He said that even if artisans did sometimes feel the urge to keep innovations to themselves, working in open spaces prevented artisans from being able to keep their ideas out of the public eye:

It would be good to have ownership of a new idea, but we work in open places and spaces making it difficult and impractical to protect new ideas. Everybody can see what you're doing or working on every day. (Participant 7, 2012)

Also sometimes making it unrealistic for artisans to try to keep clients from seeing their innovations is a lack of trust. This is particularly true for clients from formal settings who may not have the same level of trust artisans have among themselves. One participant indicated that:

[M]ost of our clients tend to stay around as we work on their cars. As such, they will get to know exactly what we do and whatever ideas and solutions we apply to whatever problems their cars present. Clients stay around and observe because they are not sure about [the] security of their cars. If we were a company, they wouldn't necessarily stay around. (Participant 7, 2012)

IP dynamics

Among the informal-sector artisans, the role of IP rights and IP protection was found to be of little or no concern in relation to their collaborations with the formal sector. With the exception of one artisan, the participants found the notion of owning ideas, innovations or inventions antithetical to the workings of the informal sector, where collaboration and sharing is the norm rather than the exception. This view was consistent regardless of whether sharing involved a vertical collaboration between formal and informal or a horizontal collaboration among informal-sector actors. Indeed, the notion of owning ideas was closely associated with preventing access and application of such ideas. This notion was understood to mean working in secrecy. One artisan asked:

If my mentor had withheld his knowledge and new ideas from me, how on earth would I have acquired the knowledge I got from him? If I withhold the knowledge I have, how am I supposed to teach the next generation of artisans?

While young apprentices are often charged small "training fees", it is never the case that expectations go beyond that requirement. Usually, a small fee or even a family or friendship tie is sufficient for the senior artisan to freely pass on his knowledge without any expectation that it is protected "property". This mentality also enables experienced or senior artisans to share new ideas and ways of doing things when new problems or tasks arise. None of the informal-sector participants was aware of IP laws that could protect their innovations. Furthermore, they remained unconcerned about IP even after I provided a brief explanation. And apparently Gatsby Garage was not particularly concerned about IP protection in its relationship with informal-sector actors.

However, unlike Gatsby Garage and the Garage's informal-sector partners, the formal-sector actors studied were found to be increasingly aware of IP and wary of the possibility that their ideas could be "misappropriated". For instance, the Kiira EV Project within CEDAT signed formal memoranda of understanding (MoUs) with Gatsby Garage and the informal-sector artisans, and each MoU contained non-disclosure clauses. Also, at the time of the field work for this study, Kiira EV Project researchers were in the process of pursuing IP protections related to a number of innovations or inventions from the project. Notably, the Kiira EV manager did not feel that informal-sector artisans made a large enough contribution to warrant being part of the resultant IP, and thus whatever IP protection came out of the filings would go to the CEDAT researchers and Makerere University.

Notwithstanding the MoUs, the Kiira EV project manager was aware that informal-sector artisans came into contact with the project's IP in the form of designs that they could easily exploit. However, he was not concerned about informal-sector partners "stealing" or "commercialising" any of these ideas, because he felt that doing so would require heavy capital investment, which informal-sector artisans would be incapable of mobilising.

Policy-making

According to the official interviewed at the UNCST, the government of Uganda has neither studied, nor has experience with, the relationship between the formal

and informal sectors. Instead, emphasis is placed on formal-to-formal sector linkages, such as university-industry linkages or collaborations and exchanges of innovation through formal "clusters" (UNCST official, 2012). The rationale is that these relations are easier to foster than formal-to-informal sector linkages and *vice versa*. The official stated: "Actually, we are working with Makerere University's Innovation System and Clusters Programme. They have clusters, and we are trying to study the relationships within those clusters" (UNCST official, 2012). The UNCST official said he hoped that, through such clusters, the university might bring informal actors on board. However, Makerere's Clusters Programme currently focuses on formal industry actors.³ Arguably, these clusters present an easier means of dealing with IP issues than if the university were to collaborate extensively with informal-sector artisans.

5. Conclusions

This research found a striking absence of concern among the informal-sector actors studied about IP protection or IP rights in relation to their innovative collaborations with other informal actors or with formal-sector players. Meanwhile, the formal sector was found to be showing increasing interest in IP protection, but it was notable that the formal sector's formal-informal proxy entity, Gatsby Garage, appeared not to bring IP considerations into play during collaborations with the informal-sector artisans. These findings suggest there is a great deal of dynamism inherent in non-IP-based incentive modalities. Examination of how policy-makers might be able to optimise the incentive mechanisms at play in the settings studied in the course of this research was beyond the scope of the study, but there is clearly a need for substantial African-focused research in this area.

Government policies are needed in Uganda, and perhaps similarly in other African nations, to support formal-informal and informal-informal sector knowledge exchanges, so that innovations extend beyond project-specific or institution-specific initiatives. The incentive mechanisms included in such policy tools will need to be grounded in nuanced understanding of the complex mixes of motivations at play at different points along the formal-informal continuum.

³ Details about Makerere's Clusters Programme are available at: http://cedat.mak.ac.ug/ktp/ cluster-programs.html.

Appendix 3.1: Visual representation of networks among study participants and entities



P =Participant

CEDAT = College of Engineering, Design, Art and Technology (Makerere University)

Bibliography

- Centre for Research in Transportation Technologies (CRTT) (n.d.), Makerere University, Kampala, available at: http://cedat.mak.ac.ug/research/crtt.html (accessed 5 April 2013).
- College of Engineering, Design, Art and Technology CEDAT (n.d.), Makerere University, Kampala, available at: http://cedat.mak.ac.ug (accessed 5 April 2013).
- Daniels, S. (2010), *Making Do: Innovation in Kenya's Informal Economy*, available at: http://analoguedigital.com/makingdo/ (accessed 5 April 2013).
- Freeman, L. C. (2004), *The Development of Social Network Analysis: A Study in the Sociology of Science*, Empirical Press, Vancouver.
- Hanneman, R. A. and Riddle, M. (2005), *Introduction to Social Network Methods*, University of California, Riverside, available at: http://faculty.ucr.edu/~hanneman/ (accessed 5 April 2013).
- Hanneman, R.A., Martinez, J. and Holguin, R. (2005), *Spatial Dynamics of Human Populations: Basic Models*, available at: http://faculty.ucr.edu/~hanneman/spatial/ (accessed 5 April 2013).

- Hart, K. (1973), "Informal income opportunities and urban employment in Ghana", *The Journal of Modern African Studies*, Vol. 11 No. 1, pp. 61–89.
- Hassan, M.H. (2001), "Can science save Africa?" Science, Vol. 292 No. 5522, pp. 1609–10.
- Horn, P., Xaba, J. and Motala, S. (2002), *The Informal Sector in Sub-Saharan Africa*, Working Paper on the Informal Economy, Employment Sector – International Labour Organisation (ILO), Geneva.
- International Labour Organisation (ILO) (1972), Employment, Incomes and Equality: A Strategy for Increasing Productive Employment in Kenya, Geneva.
- International Labour Organisation (ILO) (2002), *Decent Work and the Informal Economy*, Report VI presented at the 90th session of the International Labor Conference, Geneva.
- International Labour Organisation (ILO) (2003), "Guidelines concerning a statistical definition of informal employment", adopted by the 17th International Conference of Labour Statisticians (ICLS), ILO, Geneva, November, available at: www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/---dgreports/---stat/documents/ normativeinstrument/wcms_087622.pdf (accessed 22 April 2013).
- Lor, P.J. and Britz, J. (2005), "Knowledge production from an African perspective: international information flows and intellectual property", *The International Information and Library Review*, Vol. 37 No. 2, pp. 61–76.
- Ondari-Okemwa, E. (2004), "Impediments to promoting access to global knowledge in sub-Saharan Africa", *Library Management*, Vol. 25 No. 8/9, pp. 361–75.
- Ondari-Okemwa, E. (2007), "Scholarly publishing in sub-Saharan Africa in the twenty-first century: challenges and opportunities", *First Monday*, Vol. 12 No. 10, available at: http://journals.uic.edu/ojs/index.php/fm/article/view/1966, (accessed 5 April 2013).
- Palmer, R. (2004), *The Informal Economy in Sub-Saharan Africa: Unresolved Issues of Concept, Character and Measurement*, Occasional Paper No. 98, Centre of African Studies, University of Edinburgh.
- Portes, A. (1983), "The informal sector: definition controversy, and relation to national development", *Review*, Vol. 7, pp. 151–74.
- Portes, A. and Sassen-Koob, S. (1987), "Making it underground: comparative material on the informal sector in Western market economies", *The American Journal of Sociology*, Vol. 93 No. 1, pp. 30–61.
- Rogers, E.M. (1983), Diffusion of Innovations, 3rd ed., Free Press, New York.
- Tabak, F. (2000), "Informalization and the long term", in Tabak, F. and Crichlow, A.M. (Eds), *Informalization: Process and Structure*, Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, MD, pp. 1–19.

- Tijssen, R.J.W. (2007), "Africa's contribution to the worldwide research literature: new analytical perspectives, trends, and performance indicators", *Scientometrics*, Vol. 71 No. 2, pp. 303–27.
- Uganda Gatsby Trust (UGT) (n.d.), available at: www.gatsbyuganda.com (accessed 5 April 2013).
- World Bank (2006), *Kenya Inside Informality: Poverty, Jobs, Housing and Services in Nairobi's Slums*, Report No. 36347-KE, Washington, DC.

Index

Please note: Page numbers in *italics* refer to figures, tables and appendices.

A

Academy of Sciences of South Africa (ASSAf) 289, 308 access and benefit-sharing (ABS) 15-16, 18, 151 Nagoya Protocol 153, 161-162 Regulations, South Africa 162 access to knowledge (A2K) 3, 17, 204, 285-286 Access to Knowledge for Development Center (A2K4D) 178 Adama University Research Policy, Ethiopia 325 Addis Ababa University (AAU) 323 Research Policy 325 Africa 4-5,61 agricultural production 89 diversity of social constructs 377 net exporters of knowledge 18 recommendations to policy-makers 391-393 unemployment statistics 46 African Economic Research Consortium (AERC) 210 African Growth and Opportunity Act (AGOA) 114 African innovation and creativity undermining 5,7-8 undervaluing 5-7 African innovation policy priorities 20 African national patent regimes 242 African Regional Intellectual Property Organisation (ARIPO) 139-140, 237, 238, 239, 250-251, 256, 262, 381 African Science, Technology and Innovation Indicators (ASTII) 39 African Innovation Outlook report 39 African Technology Policy Studies Network (ATPS) 210 African Union (AU) 344 African workforce 46 agricultural biotechnology 88 agricultural industries 133 agricultural producers 79 agricultural products 375 agricultural waste 272, 273 rice straw 273 Agro Eco-Louis Bolk Institute 88 Ajuda de Desenvolvimento de Povo para Pova (ADPP), People to People Development Aid, Mozambique 257

community biofuel project 256, 257, 258-259 NGO project 260 algae 272, 273 alternative art scene, Cairene 178-179 alternative markets for higher-value products 78 alternative music and art industries 179 alternative music-consuming populations 179 alternative publishing models online subscription 204-205, 212 online OA self-archiving 205, 212 alternative trading organisations (ATOs) 86 alternative value chain 90-91 American University in Cairo, The (AUC) 178 Anne Nang'unda Kukali v Mary A Ogola & Another, Kenya, 215-216 anti-commons effect 337 Anti-Counterfeit Act, Kenva 140 Antigue coffee, Guatemala 97 apprenticeship as means of learning 66-67 sector-specific 376 Aquaculture Research Centre (ARC), Egypt 273 Arab Academy for Science, Technology and Maritime Transport 373 Arabic Creative Commons licences 175 architecture for Kenyan scholarship copyright communities 210 libraries and archives 209 professional research and publishing 210 publishers 209 scholarly consortia 210 universities 209 Argentina 306 artisans 67 and technology students 69 Association for Promoting Fairtrade in Finland 86 Australian patent office (IP Australia) 275-276 authorship 206-208 motivation for 219-221 and open scholarship 220-221 automobile parts 375

B

Bali meeting, UNFCCC 268 Banjul Protocol on Marks within the Framework of ARIPO 340 Bank of Industry (BoI), Nigeria, 116, 125 Bayh-Dole Act, US 21, 288, 290, 337-338, 391 international emulation 320-321 B-BBEE Act, South Africa 293 Berlin Declaration on Open Access 298, 303, 308, 310 Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works 214, 340 bio-cultural community protocols (BCPs) 153, 378, 388 Biodiversity Act, South Africa 159, 162 biofuels 15, 375 agricultural products 248 ethanol and biodiesel 248-249 exploitation 250 innovations 270, 383, 385 legislation 254 patent landscape, Mozambique 255-256 policy and strategy, Mozambique 249 production methods 273 technology in production 258, 382 technology patenting 20 biopiracy 152-153 bio-prospecting 162 biotechnology 11 Botswana 385 benefits of public research to economy and society 359, 362 framework for IP at institutions 348-353 importance of IP factors to commercialisation 360 industrial property rights 341 institutional funding for research 365, 365 institutional IP environments 364 institutional IP policies 364 institutional IP policy on commercialisation 352, 352-353 institutional IP policy on dissemination 350 institutional IP policy on knowledge utilisation 351 institutional roles 362-363, 363 IPA and PRO ownership of results 350 IP and research practices necessary for value 361 IP and STI environment 340–342 IP and University of Botswana (UB) 342-343 IP expertise and activity 344-345 IP law and policy 338, 353, 354-355 IP management infrastructure 363 IP methods used 359 IP for protection of research output 345-346, 347 knowledge of how to use IP 347 knowledge of institutional IP policies 349, 353 levels of research activity 356-357 ministerial powers and parastatal institutions 343-344 Ministry of Trade and Industry 339, 343 publicly funded researchers 22, 335, 359, 384, 387

public policy 22 Registrar of Companies 344-345 research factors and commercialisation 358 "triple helix" of research and development 344 types of research 353, 356 university and PRO roles 366 use of IP procedures 348, 358 Botswana domestic laws and regulations Copyright and Neighbouring Rights Act 341 Industrial Property Act (IPA) 341 Industrial Property Regulations, Statutory Instrument 341 Botswana Export Development and Investment Authority 343 Botswana Football Association and Another v. Kgamane 345 Botswana Innovation Hub (BIH) 343, 344 Botswana Technology Centre (BOTEC) 343 Braille, audio or digital texts 225 branding 110 communal strategies 379 BRICS nations (Brazil, Russia, India, China, South Africa) 38 Budapest Open Access Initiative 211 Bushbuckridge, Kruger to Canyons (K2C) area, South Africa 151-168, 380 multi-ethnic nature of TK commons 160 municipality 157 registered as Biosphere Reserve 151 traditional medicinal practitioners 18, 386

С

Canadian Intellectual Property Office (CIPO) 276 capitalist entrepreneurs 36 Centre for Research in Transportation Technologies (CRTT), Makerere University, Uganda 64–65 certification assessing schemes 88-90 critics of schemes 89 overseen by governmental bodies 88 marks 16-17, 78, 111, 112-113, 120, 123, 124 registration of marks 117 trademarks schemes 379 China 97, 110, 111, 122 clean energy technology 378, 383 Egypt 242, 267 fast-track administrative procedure 275-276 innovations 270, 385 and IP mechanisms 268 Mozambique 242 Climate Change Conference, UN, Copenhagen 268 clothing 375 Codes of Practice for Organic Farming, Ghana 88 collaborative, openness-oriented dynamics 4 collaborative branding, trademarks and

geographical indications (GIs) 16-17

collaborative innovation and creativity 9-12, 22, 135, 388 collaborative intellectual property 377-384 collaborative partnerships 144-145 collective entities 81 collective management organisations (CMOs) 210 collective marks 111, 123, 124 "CA" mark 112 collective rights of a community 80 College of Engineering, Design, Art and Technology (CEDAT), Makerere University 16, 63, 387 IP dynamics 71-72 Kiira EV Project 64-65, 67, 72 Makerere Clusters Programme 73 MoUs (memoranda of understanding) 72 networks among study participants and entities 74 research centre and informal-sector artisans 59-60 see also Gatsby Garage automotive workshop commercialisation 320, 335 in global R&D markets 305 of IP 285, 286, 304 of research output 348 Commission on Intellectual Property Rights (CIPR), UK 319-320 common law of copyright 214 jurisdictions of UK and former British colonies 78 commons 137 concept 154-155 knowledge 137 material 137 social 137 traditional agricultural 388-389 communalism 112 communal trademarks 109, 111-113, 120, 123, 379 Ethiopian initiative 111 feasibility 114 models 124 Nigeria 116-119 communication 37 communities closed group of 81 traditional agricultural 82 Companies and Intellectual Property Commission (CIPC) (formerly CIPRO), South Africa 307 confidential information 379 construction, innovation in 38 consultancies for industry 353 consumer preferences 96 Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) 138-139, 153, 271 cooking oil 272, 273-274 copyright 1, 3, 10, 132, 138, 346, 378 American law 214-215

development in Kenva and Africa 213-214 economic rights 208 and empowered creativity 19 infringement 221 laws, policies, practices 224 moral rights 207, 208 paternity right 207 policy-makers 205 protection 175, 205, 389 in research 14 right of integrity 207 term in Kenyan law 207 violations 175 see also open scholarship and copyright, Kenya Copyright Act, Kenya 140, 141 Copyright Tribunal, Kenya 210 cosmetic industries, and traditional knowledge (TK) 133 counterfeiting and falsification 97, 124 Creative Commons 11, 175 Creative Research Systems, Sample Size Calculator 339 creativity 1-2, 10, 133, 374, 375 cultural heritage 378 customary laws 157-158, 159

D

De Beers Element Six programme 303 demand-side factors 47 Department for International Development (DFID), UK 94 Department of Chemical Engineering, UCT 296 Department of Education, Science and Training (DEST), Australia 287 Department of Higher Education and Training (DHET), South Africa 289, 308 Green Paper for Post-School Education and Training 289 Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, UCT 296 Department of Science and Technology (DST), South Africa 288, 308 Department of Trade and Industry (DTI), South Africa 303 design theft 121 diffusion geographic versions of theory 37 process of innovation 41-42 digital commons business model 171, 387 advertising and/or sponsorships 181 knowledge of 181 Meetphool digital platform181–182 online digital music and streaming 184 digital copyright exchange 286 digital communications 203 digital rights management (DRM) 219, 223 digitisation and copyright, Kenya 210-211 discontinuous economic change 37

Divine Chocolate Inc, UK 86 domain name system (DNS) 210 Draft Bill on Protection of Traditional Knowledge and Traditional Cultural Expressions (Draft TK Bill), Kenya 141–142 dual economy model 48

E

Econergy International Corporation 249, 252-253 ecological and sustainability conditions, of production 87 Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS) 125 economic development 36 drive to maturity 36 Economic Development Imports 86 economic growth 33 economic strategies 38 economic systems classic and neoclassical 33-34 development 33, 35 dynamic development 34 formal and informal 9 Eco-Patent Commons 276-277 ecosystem, building the new 309-310 "egocentric networks" approach 63 selection of central node 63-64 Education and Training Policy, Ethiopia 322 Egypt 306, 385 Al Sawy Cultural Wheel 179 willingness to pay musicians 183 biofuel patenting 20, 271-272, 275-278 biofuel technology development 382 copyright law 174-175, 381 Economic Court 194 "Hollywood of the East" 171 illegally copied CDs and cassettes 183-184 independent music industry 19, 171-172, 376, 380-381, 387 IP law in practice 175-177 alternative art outlets, Cairo 197 Patent Gazette 272 patent law 270 Patent Office 270-271, 272, 275, 276 patent system 267-272 private sector 274-275 public sector 274 research incentives 277 stakeholders 272-275, 277-278 see also music industry Egyptian alternative music scene 390 judicial process and court system 180, 181 knowledge of copyright law 179-180 relevance of copyright 180 Egyptian copyright provisions 268-271 administrative bodies 194 conditions of protection 192

duration of protection 193 economic rights 192-193 Executive Regulation 270-271 moral rights 192-193 Egyptian Environmental Affairs Agency (EEAA) 274 Egyptian Intellectual Property Rights Law (EIPRL) 174-175, 182-183, 268-269 Executive Regulation 271 moral rights 190 electronic patent databases 14 electronic publishing 204 engineering, software and genetic 203 Engineering Capacity Building Program (ECBP), Ethiopia 324 enterprises, parastatal and industrial 336 entrepreneurial education 47 entrepreneurial environment in a developing economy 42-45 entrepreneurs "imitating" 41,42 "innovating" 41 risk-taking 39 entrepreneurship 9,32 and Africa 45-48 defined 40 in developing world 40-42 imitation 52 and IP 43-44 environmental certifications 78,87 and labelling 84 Environmental Protection Authority (EPA), Ethiopia 91 environmental standards 110 environmentally sound technologies (ESTs) 276 ethanol 249 Ethiopia 21-22, 99, 316, 373, 375, 384, 385 agriculture and GDP 316 coffee industry 17, 77, 78, 84-85, 90-91, 376, 379, 386 Coffee Quality Control and Inspection Centre 83 Coffee Quality Control and Marketing Proclamation 92 coffee trademark and licensing initiative 98-99 Draft GIs Proclamation 91 empirical value chain 82 Farmers Cooperative Unions 84, 388 foreign exchange earnings 83 Forest Stewardship Council 87 government policies 321-323 institutional IP management 324-326 IP rights and university research 319-321 Ministry of Trade 91 national IP system 324-326 Office of the Vice-President 325 Organic Agriculture System Proclamation 88

policy-makers, industry managers, academic researchers 326-328 poverty eradication 316-317 public researchers 387 Rainforest Alliance 87 university research and innovation by firms 323-324, 329 UTZ KAPEH 87 Ethiopia Commodity Exchange (ECX) 84 quality inspection centres 92 Ethiopian Intellectual Property Office (EIPO) 83, 91, 324-325, 327 trademark-based protection 98-99 Europe 99 European Patent Office 275 Eurostat (Statistical Office of the European Communities) 9,32 evolutionary economic theory 37-38 Expert Group on Informal Sector Statistics (Delhi Group) 49

F

fair trade 84 certification 86-87, 89-90 labelling 78 Fairtrade Federation 86,90 Fairtrade Foundation, UK 87 Fairtrade Labelling Organizations International (FLO) 86 FAIRTRADE[™] mark 86 Fair World Designs 86 financial support facilities and schemes 125 FLO-Cert 86 Kafa Forest Coffee Farmers Cooperative Union 86 Oromia Coffee Farmers Cooperative Union 86 Sidama Coffee Farmers Cooperative Union 86, 89.93 Yirgacheffe Coffee Farmers Cooperative Union 86,93 folklore 133, 136, 214 foreign certifiers 88 foreign direct investment (FDI) 344 foreign markets 113 formal and informal sectors dualistic conceptions 60-61 innovative work 66 networks, linkages between 67-69 sharing of innovations 70-71 formal-informal continuum 61-62, 387 choosing a point on 62 knowledge transfer 61 formal-informal exchanges and linkages 69-70 formal-informal innovation intersections 11 France 99 free and open source software (FOSS) movement 11 Free Day Secondary Education (FDSE), Kenya 209 Free Primary Education (FPE), Kenya 209 Friendship, Commerce and Navigation (FCN) Agreements 214 G Galp Energia 252 Gatsby Garage automotive workshop, Uganda 16, 387, 388 IP protection issues 65-66 research 62-69 General Administration for the Prevention of Infringement of Intellectual Property Rights, Egypt 194 genetically modified (GM) foods 88 genetic resources (GRs) 79 geographical indications (GIs) 13, 16, 17, 77-78, 80-82, 89, 111, 113, 118, 123, 124, 138, 341, 346, 378 compliance and additional production costs 94 feasibility 90-91 legislation 92-93 operational challenges 95 origin-designated (or place-based) branding 379 potential economic benefits and costs 94 protection for wines and spirits 98 structural challenges 91-95 Ghana 48, 373 adinkra and kente cloths 97 certification schemes 85 Cocoa Abrabopa Association 87,93 cocoa industry 17, 77, 78, 90-91, 376, 379, 386 empirical value chain analysis 82 Geographical Indications Act 91 good agricultural practice guidelines 92 government role in production and marketing 85 Kuapa Kokoo Farmers Union 86-87 Licensed Buying Companies (LBCs) 85, 388 Ministry of Agriculture 92 Ministry of Trade 83 Rainforest Alliance 87 Registrar General's Department 83 Standards Authority 83 Standards Board Codes of Practice for Organic Farming 88 UTZ KAPEH 87 Ghana Cocoa Board (COCOBOD) 85,94 Quality Control Division 93 global diseases 306 Global Entrepreneurship Monitor (GEM) Model 43 and IP 44-45 globalisation 109,111 global patenting market 306 greenhouse gas emissions 267 green inventions 275 green technologies 268, 276

gross domestic product (GDP) Botswana 344 Ethiopia 316 Nigeria 110 gross expenditure on research and development (GERD) 344 Group of 77 developing nations (G77) 268

H

Hagen, Everett 36 Hague Agreement Concerning the International Deposit of Industrial Designs 340 Hague Convention on the Law applicable to Trusts and their Recognition 163 Haramaya University, Ethiopia 323 Harare Protocol on Patents and Industrial Designs within the Framework of ARIPO 255, 340-341 Hargreaves Report, UK 285-286 Harrod-Domar Growth Model 35 healing schools (imphande) 157 leaders (magobela) 157 Higher Education Proclamation, Ethiopia 322, 325 Hirschman, Albert 35 HIV infection 152 local patent for drug delivery 306 Hoselitz, Bert 36 human development 33

I

IBM 276-277 implementation, meaning of 10 inclusive development 8-9 India 118 Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) 134 Darjeeling tea 111 Protection and Utilisation of Publicly Funded Intellectual Property 321 leather products, toys, wall decorations 111 pashmina textiles 111 indigenous and local communities (ILCs) 18,80, 81, 144, 145, 146, 378-379 control over commercialisation and exploitation 134 control over natural resources and TK 153 Kenya 132, 133, 136-137 Kukula Healers, South Africa 161-162 and TK 17, 80 indigenous art 123 indigenous knowledge and capabilities 38 Indigenous Knowledge Systems Policy, South Africa 159 indigenous people's innovation 3 indigenous scientific capabilities 38 indigenous textile products, Nigeria 113 industrial absorptive capacity for knowledge conversion 375 industrial designs 138, 346

Industrial Development Corporation (IDC), South Africa 305 industrialisation 36 Industrial Property Act (IPA), Botswana 341 Industrial Property Act, Kenya 140, 141 Industrial Property Code, Mozambique 255, 382 Industrial Property Institute (IPI), Mozambique 250-251, 256 industrial property rights 255 informal appropriation, research on 13 informal economy (IE) 16, 32, 47-48, 52, 61.379 "informal sector" concept 48-50 informal protections 378 international statistical definition 49 Kampala auto mechanics 373 networks, linkages in 69-70 information and communication technology (ICT) 204 efforts to digitally document TK 134, 136 systems 14, 51, 80 use of in Kenva 144-45 "informationalism" 203 innovation 1-2, 10, 33, 67, 133, 283, 288, 304, 339, 374, 375 conceptual frameworks 32 current state of literature 38-39 development and diffusion 37 and entrepreneurship 36, 52 five-step theory (Rogers) 36 in industrial enterprises 328 knowledge transfer approach 38 measurement in the informal sector 50-51 systems approach 33, 38 innovation-development nexus 33 innovation for development 47 innovative knowledge systems 376 Institute of Chartered Public Secretaries of Kenya (ICPSK) 210 Institute of Infectious Disease and Molecular Medicine (IIDMM), UCT 296 Institut national des appellations d'origine (INAO), France 98 instructional broadcasts 216 intangible resources 14 integrated seawater agriculture system (ISAS), Egypt 274 intellectual property (IP) 32, 77, 111, 248, 249, 268, 335, 373 Code, Mozambique 255 commercialisation 384 conventional rights 79 and dissemination 319-320 education and training of lawyers in Africa 238 fear of exploitation and infringement 52 framework for development 51-52 law and traditional healing 158-159

law, policy and practice 7, 10, 384 macro-level public policies 11 management, innovation, creativity 386 micro-level management practices 11 open or closed systems 283 policy instruments 133, 309 protection 319, 327, 346 rights 1-8, 22, 138, 317 rights in Africa's informal sector 59 South African public funding 283 training of legal counsel and judges 241 valorising (adding value to) GRs (genetic resources) 79 Western model of rights 79 Intellectual Property Rights from Publicly Financed Research and Development (IPR-PFRD) Act, South Africa 282-285, 288, 290, 302, 308, 310, 338, 383, 389-391 benefit-sharing 295 conditions that apply only to exclusive licences 294 conditions that apply to all licences 294 conditions that apply to offshore transactions 294 evolution of South African approach 289 Framework, 288 institutional infrastructure 292 IP ownership and statutory protection 292-293 IP transactions 293-294 key provisions 291-292 primary intent of Act 290-291 Regulations 282-285 state "walk-in" rights 294-295 inter-ethnic traditions and customary laws 160 Inter-Ministerial Committee on Biofuels, Mozambique 254 regulations for biofuel additives to commercialised fuel 254 International Commission of Jurists (ICJ), Kenya Section 210 International Conference of Labour Statisticians (ICLS) 49 International Development Research Centre (IDRC), Canada 50 International Federation of Organic Agriculture Movements 88 International Institute for Environment and Development (IIED) 158 International Intellectual Property Alliance (IIPA) 176 International Labour Organisation (ILO) 45, 48-49, 51, 61 international markets competition and standards 114 promotional activities in 95 International Patent Classification (IPC)

committee of experts 276 Green Inventory 276 International Organisation for Standardisation (ISO) 110 International Trade Centre (ITC) 343 International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (International Seed Treaty) 139 inventions 304, 381 evaluation of merits 20 protection and processes 359 inventors 303 investment 35 Italian Embassy, Maputo 252 Italy 110 Japan policy-makers 320 productivity 36 Joint Integrated Technical Assistance Programme (JITAP) 343 jojoba 274-275 medicinal applications 275 plantations 272

K

Kenya 380 alternative publishing 381 Anti-Corruption and Economic Crimes Act 218 civil society organisations 213 collaboration between government and indigenous and local communities (ILCs) 132 collective management organisations (CMOs) 213 conceptualising and contextualising copyright 206-208 Constitution 132, 140, 204, 214 Copyright Act 206, 211, 213, 214-217, 219, 224-226, 381 copyright law 380 Department of Culture 136 Department of Justice 136 Digitising Traditional Culture Initiative 145 Draft TK Bill 132, 146-147 fair dealing 214-215 funding 143 industrial property law 380 international and regional legal instruments 138-140 IP laws 132 IP rights 214 legal instruments for protection of IP 140 - 141legal/policy framework and role of government 142-144

local economy 49 McMillan Memorial Library Act 209 National Cultural Agency 142 national and legal policy framework 140-142 National Museums of Kenya 136, 143-144 National TK Policy 18, 132, 142, 144, 146-147, 380 Office of the Attorney-General 136 Official Secrets Act 218 Penal Code 218 Public Officer Ethics Act 218 scholarly authors 376, 387, 388 Science and Technology Act 209 stakeholder perspectives 142-145 State Law Office 213, 225 TK commons 380 TK digital library 380 University of Nairobi 136 Vision 2030, policy blueprint 204 see also open scholarship and copyright, Kenya Kenya Copyright Board (KECOBO) 136, 143-144, 145, 146, 210, 213, 225 National Competent Authority for traditional knowledge (TK) 142 Kenya Historical Association (KHA) 210 Kenya Industrial Property Institute (KIPI) 136, 142, 143-144, 145, 239 Kenya Institute for Public Policy Research and Analysis (KIPPRA) 209 Kenya Institute of Curriculum Development (KICD) broadcasts 216 Kenya National Academy of Sciences (KNAS) 210 Kenya National Library Service Board Act 209 Kenya Nonfiction and Academic Authors' Association (KENFAA) 210 Kenya Oral Literature Association (KOLA) 210 Keynesian economics and growth theory 33, 34-35 knowledge African 5 capital 43 commercial application 337 commons 7,388 dissemination 320, 348 economy 78-79 hoarding 285-186 informal management of 16 "know how" 43 poor people's 3 pre-existing ("prior art") 235 socialisation 21, 310-311, 338 technological 242 utilisation 348 knowledge-based economic development and change 322-323 knowledge-development nexus 38 knowledge economy (KE) 203

knowledge-governance frameworks 7 knowledge-sharing 178 knowledge transfer 375-376 knowledge transfer offices (KTOs), Botswana 349, 353 Kruger to Canvons (K2C) Biosphere Region, South Africa 151 ethnic groupings 151-152 K2C Management Committee 151, 153, 154, 165, 166 Kukula Healers 151-154, 373, 380, 388 Association 156-157, 167 bio-cultural protocol (BCP) 153-154, 160, 161 Code of Ethics 157 collective 380 commons 155-156 cosmetics 164 evolution of TK commons 156-161 Godding and Godding laboratories 164 holistic approach to knowledge-sharing 158 IP-based property rights 156 Nagoya Protocol 161-162 non-disclosure agreement with Godding and Godding 161-162 Traditional Health Practitioners' Association 151 trust as legal model 161-163, 166 Kyoto Protocol 252, 267

L

labelling 93 labour, flow of 48 Latin America 61 Law Society of Kenya (LSK) 210 least developed countries (LDCs) 319 legal profession and universities 304 legal trust components 163-164 licensing alternative, in Egypt 175 and assignments of scholarly works 217 compulsory 216 learning materials 378 of rights, voluntary 255 and registration of businesses 50 Lipset, Seymour Martin 35-36 Luanda, Angola study 46 Lusaka Agreement on the Creation of ARIPO 340

М

Maasai, Kenya 142 community 135–136, 143, 144 knowledge 18, 132, 133 project on digitisation of culture 145 Maasai Cultural Heritage (MCH) Organisation, Kenya 136 Madrid Agreement Concerning the International Registration of Marks 117, 255, 340

Protocol 117, 118, 255, 341 Treaty 124-125 Malindi District Cultural Association (MDCA), Kenya 136, 144 Manufacturers Association of Nigeria (MAN) 116 manufacturing 35, 38 Margaret Ogola & 3 Others v David Aduda and Another, Kenya 215 marginalisation of African youth 45 marketed services, innovation in 38 Marshall, Alfred 34 mass consumption, age of high 36 Mauritius 306 McClelland, David 36 media coverage 6 medicinal knowledge 378 medicinal plants 152, 157 and animals 158 Mekelle University, Ethiopia 323 memoranda of understanding (MoUs) 72 microelectronics 203 micro-entrepreneurs 50 micro or cottage enterprises 113 Miji Kenda community, Kenya 135-136, 142, 143 Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) 51 Ministry of Agriculture (MOA), Ethiopia 83, 88, 91,94 Agricultural Extension Directorate 91 Coffee Quality and Marketing Implementation Manual 92 Ministry of Infrastructure, Science and Technology (MIST), Botswana 343, 344, 345 modernisation theory 33, 35-37 Mozambique 379, 382, 385 applications to the Industrial Property Institute (IPI), Maputo 262 biofuels agreement with EU and Brazil 253 biofuel production 248-250 biofuel technology patenting 250, 256 Constitution 254 Inter-Ministerial Committee on Biofuels 259-260, 385-386 IP Code 255 IP Strategy 254-255 national biofuel policy-making and patenting 20 oil-from-jatropha initiative 388 patents granted 261 policy and legal framework 253-255 studies of biofuel sector 251-253 Multilateral Environmental Agreements (MEAs) 110 musicians anti-commercialisation 187-188 business model 188 ethical consumption 189 non-monetary inclinations 188 remuneration model 188-189

sharing-based public licence 189 music industry 133 access versus incentive tension 172-174 commons-based approach 172, 190 consumers of alternative music, Cairo 178 consumption patterns 182-184 copyright and sharing 177-178 digital 172-173 "freemium" model 19, 171, 381, 387 illegal music copying, Egypt 176-177 independent music digital commons 191 jingles for advertisements 187 legal barriers and IP rules 173 live music scene, Cairo 173-174, 187, 190-191 monetary reward 186 money spent on concerts 185 money spent on music 185 physical versus virtual 189-190 piracy in Egypt 176 as quasi-public good 172-174 remuneration, incentives, business models 184-189 websites for illegal music downloads, Egypt 176 muti (traditional medicine) hunters, South Africa 152

Ν

National Agenda for Research and Innovation in Biofuels, Mozambique 254, 260 National Enquiry Point (NEP), Botswana 343 National Experts on Science and Technology Indicators (NESTI), OECD 37 national innovation system (NIS) approach 317 National IP Management Office (NIPMO), South Africa 288-289, 292, 294-295, 297-298, 302, 304, 307, 308, 309 National Museums and Heritage Act, Kenya 140, 141 National Museums of Kenya 142, 143 National Policy and Strategy on Biofuels (NPSB), Mozambique 248, 249, 250, 253, 258, 259, 382, 385-386 National Policy on Traditional Knowledge, Genetic Resources and Traditional Cultural Expressions (National TK Policy), Kenya 141 National Programme for the Promotion of Mozambican Innovators 258 National Programme on Biofuels Development, Mozambique 254, 260 National Research and Development (R&D) Strategy, South Africa 288 National Research Centre (NRC), Egypt 273 National Scholarly Editors' Forum, South Africa 289 Natural Justice non-governmental organisation (NGO) 153

neoclassical school 34 market equilibrium 34 orderly economic change 34 Natural Oil Company (Natoil), Egypt 274-275 New and Renewable Energy Authority (NREA), Egypt 274 New Nile Company, Egypt 274 Nigeria 379 Aba leather shoemakers 115, 115-116, 120, 121 - 123Central Bank 125 economy 109-111 existing cluster dynamics 119-120 existing knowledge of IP 121 export of leather 110 Itoku-Abeokuta textile producers 115, 120, 121-124 Kano leather tanneries 114, 115, 120, 121-122 leather and textile products 17, 78, 109-111, 113-114,388 leather and textile unions and associations 386 legal and regulatory framework 116-119 market challenges 121-123 oil sector 109-110 Patent Office, Abuja 236-237 revenue generation 110 small-scale operators 123 textile makers 376 Trade Marks Act 112, 116-117, 121, 124-125 Yoruba people 113 Nigerian Customs Service 125 Nigerian Export Promotion Council 116, 125 Nokia 276-277 non-disclosure agreements (NDAs) 299-300 non-GI certification marks 124 non-trademarked certification schemes 78 North Africa 3 North-South protectionist dynamics 18

0

online Creative Commons-based "digital commons" 19 open, distance and electronic learning (ODEL) 216 open access (OA) 204, 211-212 to knowledge and culture 81 online publishing approach 19 publishing 289, 303, 383, 388 scholarly publishing 282, 287, 306 Open African Innovation Research and Training Project (Open A.I.R.) 12-15, 388 network's interdisciplinary framework 13 research programme 14 open development 8-9, 80, 134, 191, 204, 211, 386 open educational resources (OERs) 289 open innovation 135 open knowledge 288 openness 22, 388-389

open research 288 open scholarship 222-223, 381 challenges to accessing scholarly information 217-218 and alternative publishing, Kenya 225-226 and copyright, Kenya 19, 203-205, 211-212 open science approach 21, 288, 320, 335, 337 open source approach 276 Oromia Coffee Farmers Cooperative Union (OCFCU), Ethiopia 93 organic certification 84, 88, 90 schemes 90 through foreign-based certifiers 89 organic labelling 78 Organisation africaine de la propriété intellectuelle (OAPI) 237, 238, 239, 381 Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) 6, 9, 32, 38, 51, 335, 366 Working Party of NESTI 37-38 work on innovation 47 Oslo Manual: Proposed Guidelines for Collecting and Interpreting Technological Innovation Data (OECD and Eurostat) 9-10, 32-33, 37-39 ownership and control systems 7 of IP rights 327 of outputs from publicly funded research 20 - 22,378Oxfam 86 р

Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property 117-118, 139, 255, 340 Parsons, Talcott 36 patentability 234 patent commons 276-277 Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT) 139, 237-238, 255, 272, 305, 340 International Bureau, Geneva 256, 261 Office, Geneva 238 patent data 276 patenting and commercialisation 383 dynamics 20 university 320 patent offices in Africa 234 roles of 235-236 survey data 243-244 patents 1, 10, 132, 138, 234, 248, 249, 346, 378 access to information 259 applications 236, 238 business method 210 database 276 design 379 "dumping grounds" 381 exclusive rights 270 incentivised payment for examiners 241 regimes in Africa 236-238

protection 381, 389 and renewable energy 15 in research 14 software 210 statistics 11 systems in African states 240-241 performance industry 133 Perroux, Francois 35 Petrobras, Brazil 386 Petromoc, Mozambique 251, 253, 257 "petty patent" utility models (UMs) 248 pharmaceutical industries 133, 134, 306 pharmaceutical research 306 Pitney Bowes 276-277 place-based intellectual property (PBIP) 17, 378 strategies 77,78 plagiarism 221 Plant and Health Inspectorate, Kenya 143-144 plants 273 African palm 249 breeder exemption 270, 383 breeders' rights 138 castor seed 249 coconut 248, 249 genetic resources 376 jatropha 248, 249, 250, 251, 252, 256, 272, 274, 376, 386 sugar cane 248, 249 sui generis protection for varieties, Egypt 383 sunflower 249 variety rights systems 270 see also jojoba Population Action International 45-46 preconditions for take-off 36 Pred, Allan 35 principles of inclusion and collaboration 378 prior informed consent 151 private-sector investment 319-320 probability proportional to size (PPS) measures 339 Proclamation for the Registration and Protection of Designation of Origin, Ethiopia 91 product innovation 38 quality improvement 96 production cost 125 professionalism in patent offices 239 property ownership, attributes of 90 proprietary value 101 protection of IP 285-286 public good 172, 204 publicly funded research 14, 318, 320, 338, 351, 359, 375, 376, 384, 385 ownership of outputs 383 public-private partnerships 14-15 public research organisations (PROs), Botswana 335, 336-337, 339, 353, 363 publishers' copyright policies 221-222

publishing digital 204 offline print 204 scholarly 204

Q

qualitative data 13, 373 quantitative data 13, 373 *quasi*-public good 173

R

reading or recitation of an extract 216 remuneration, direct financial 204 Renewable Energy Strategy, Egypt 268 research analytical framework 12 applied 353 development-focused 287 emphasis on institutions 357 epidemiological 353 evaluation 353 investment of public funds 306 literature/desk review 353 methods 12-13 multi-disciplinary network of researchers 12 perception of institution's involvement 357 publicly funded entities 309 publishing 285 respondents' average yearly output, Botswana 358 thematic areas 13-16 types 356 under-utilisation of findings 286 research and development (R&D) 15, 20, 43, 45, 209, 242, 253, 254, 283, 305, 322, 375, 382 university-based 308 Revised National Policy on Research, Science, Technology and Innovation, Botswana 344 rights-holders 100 rights management information (RMI) 219 Rogers, Everett 36 Rostow, Walt Whitman 36 royalties 204 Rural Industrial Promotion Company (Botswana) (RIPCO (B)) 344 rural poverty 152

S

scholarly communication 208 scholarly publishing 203, 205, 287 literary works 207 scholarly works, use of 221 scholarship 208 *see also* architecture for Kenyan scholarship school use and copyright 215–216 Scielo OA publishing platform, Brazil 289 Scielo South Africa 289 science and engineering publications 376 Science and Technology Capacity Index (STCI) 344 Science and Technology Policy, Ethiopia 322 "science first" position 337 science, technology and innovation (STI) African Science, Technology and Innovation Indicators (ASTII) 39 Botswana 335, 344 national goals 22 Policy, Ethiopia 316-318, 322, 328, 338, 375 at Uganda National Council for Science and Technology (UNCST) 65 scientific information in African patent applications 242 scientific research, new economics of 337 scientists and academics 272-274 Schumpeter, Joseph 34, 41 Seeds and Plant Varieties Act, Kenya 140 sharing or non-disclosure agreements 379 Sierra Leone, study 48 small, micro- and medium enterprises (SMMEs) 341 small and medium enterprises (SMEs) 253, 255, 324, 327, 391 access to technology 258 utilisation and adaptation 256 small-scale enterprises 109 small-scale entrepreneurs 111 Smith, Adam 34 social and cultural value of textiles 110 social (de facto) commons 171-174 socialisation of knowledge 286-287, 299 socially conscious consumers 86 social network analysis (SNA) 63, 64 social networks 11 Society for International Development (SID), Kenya 210 socio-economic development 32, 134, 204, 283, 373, 382, 384-385, 387 grassroots, ad hoc visions 387 high-level, state visions 385-386 mid-level, associational visions 386 sociological approach to development 36 solar and wind energy 272 Solow, Robert 35 growth model 35 Sony 276-277 sorghum 249 South Africa 118, 385 traditional healers 376 traditional medical practitioners 386 South African Revenue Service 307 Southern African Development Community (SADC) 344 South Korean patent office (Korean Intellectual Property Office) 275-276 standardisation 109 Standards Organisation of Nigeria (SON) 110-111, 116, 118-119, 390-391 Director of International Standards and SMEs 110

Duty Drawback Schemes 125 respondent adherence to standards 119 Statistical Office of the European Communities, see Eurostat sub-Saharan Africa informal employment 47 perspectives 3 youth bulge" 45 youth-to-adult ratio 45 sui generis ex parte form of GI protection 94 ex officio form of GI protection 94 geographical indications (GIs) 77-78,80 protection of GIs, TK, plant varieties 389-390 regimes 80 systems 18 Sumitomo Chemical Company 256 Sun Biofuels Mozambique 256-257 Quinvita 257 Lufthansa 257 supply-side factors 47 Sussex Manifesto: Science and Technology for Developing Countries during the Second Development Decade 38 Swakopmund Protocol on the Protection of Traditional Knowledge and Expressions of Folklore within the Framework of ARIPO 139-140, 340

Т

take-off preconditions 36 Tanzanian small-scale farmers 382 Tea Board of India 97 Technical and Vocational Education and Training (TVET), Ethiopia 323–324 technological development 35, 36 technological innovation 33 technological knowledge 242 technological protection measures (TPMs) 14, 173, 219 Technology and Human Resources Programme (THRIP), South Africa 303 Technology and Innovation Support Centres (TISCs), WIPO 259 Technology Innovation Agency (TIA), South Africa, 288, 304, 308, 309 technology research outputs 328 technology transfer offices (TTOs) 292, 296, 302, 307, 366 funding functions at Wits Enterprise 305 informal mode 382 and legal offices 309 telecommunications 203 Ten-Year Innovation Plan, South Africa 288 Thomson Reuters Web of Science 289 trade global 79

liberalisation 109,111 secrets 1, 10, 138, 346, 379, 389 trademark-based GI protection 101 trademark GIs versus sui generis GIs 100-102 ecological, cultural, biodiversity goals 100 trademarks 1, 10, 80, 132, 138, 346, 378 collective 78 conventional 78 ordinary 78 protection 389 registration and licensing 98 in research 13 speciality 78 see also communal trademarks Trade Marks Act, Kenya 140, 141 Trademarks Registry, Nigeria 116 Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS) Agreement, WTO 2, 4, 117-118, 132-133, 255, 269, 340 traditional cultural expressions (TCEs) 133, 136, 145 traditional ecological knowledge 133 traditional healers, Bushbuckridge, South Africa 151-152 Traditional Health Practitioners Act, South Africa 159 traditional knowledge (TK) 80, 123, 136, 138, 214, 341, 376, 378 and biodiversity 155 biological resources and cultural goods 133 commercialisation 133 commons 16, 18, 134, 151 commons-based approach 380 commons pool 160 digital library initiative as defensive protection 145 exploitation of 18 legal trust mechanism 18 multi-generational 159, 380 patent applications 271 potential of commons arrangements 18-19 in production processes 114 in research 15 sui generis protection 145 TK-based agricultural products 80 TK-related IP challenges 16 trans-generational territorial 81 Traditional Knowledge Digital Library (TKDL), India 134, 144 traditional medicinal knowledge 133, 375 ancestors Nkomo le Lwandle and Dlamini 157 from healer (sangoma) to apprenctice (thwasa) 157 transfer and collection systems 158 traditional society 36 trust administration rules 166 beneficiary 166-167 property 164-165

settlor 165 terms 165-166 trustee 166 Trust Property Control Act, South Africa 162-164, 166 Uganda 59, 375 auto mechanics 387 Central Engineering Workshop, Kampala 70 Kampala 59 policy-making 72-73 see also Gatsby Garage automotive workshop Uganda Gatsby Trust (UGT) 64 Uganda National Council for Science and Technology (UNCST) 65, 72-73 UK 78, 94, 118, 319-320 Copyright Acts, colonial era 213-214 Intellectual Property Office 275 IP framework 285 UN Commission on Trade and Development (UNCTAD) 94, 343 Declaration of the Rights of Indigenous Peoples 139 Department of Economic and Social Affairs (UNDESA) 251-252, 256 Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO) 6, 47, 151 Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) 252, 267, 276, 382-383 Industrial Development Organisation (UNIDO) 116 Office for West Africa 46 unemployment 152 unfair competition 101 Universal Copyright Convention (UCC) 214 universities 338 university-generated knowledge 317 university-industry knowledge transfer, Ethiopia 316, 329, 376, 383 university-industry linkages 385 University of Botswana (UB) 339, 342, 345 Institutional Review Board (IRB) 339 Office of Research and Development (ORD) 342 research community 342-343 University of Cape Town (UCT) 21, 282-285, 383 commercialisation and dispute resolution 295 Creative Commons (CC)-licensed learning materials 298 Intellectual Property Advisory Committee 295 ownership of IP 295 research and innovation indicators 295-296, 296 Research Contracts and IP Services office (RCIPS) 285, 296-297, 298, 299 researcher-inventor perspectives 299-300 UCT OpenContent website 298

University of Nairobi Institute of Development Studies (IDS) 210 University of the Witwatersrand (Wits University), South Africa 21, 282-285, 383 funding 302 IP protection strategy 304 patent filing 301-302 pharmaceutical research team 305 research and innovation indicators 300-301 researcher-inventor perspective 305-307 research-IP manager perspective 302-305 Technology Transfer Unit 302 Wits Enterprise (Wits Commercial Enterprise (Pty) Ltd) 285, 302-304, 305, 307 US Agency for International Development (USAID) 116 Digital Millennium Copyright Act 217-218 Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) 275-276,336 Sonny Bono Copyright Term Extension Act (CTEA) 218 Uniform Computer Information Transactions Act (UCITA) 218 utilities, innovation in 38 utility models (UMs) 138, 248, 379

V

value chains 82 differentiation strategies 85–88 Ethiopian coffee 83–85 Ghanaian cocoa 85 intermediaries in products 95–96 visual art and design 133

W

Web of Science journal index 289 Wennekers and Thurik Model 42–43, 44 West African countries 110 White Paper on Science and Technology, South Africa 288 witchcraft 159 Witchcraft Suppression Act, South Africa 159 work policy, externally funded 309 World Bank 79, 252 World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD) 276-277 World Economic Forum (WEF) 344 Global Competitiveness Report 344 World Employment Programme (WEP), ILO 48-49 mission to Kenya 48-49 World Intellectual Property Organisation (WIPO) 3, 14, 18, 112, 143, 239, 255, 259 development agenda 3, 14, 259 Convention Establishing WIPO 340 Creative Heritage Project 145 digitisation of culture 145 digitisation of Maasai culture 135-136, 145 Diplomatic Conference, Marrakesh 3 Internet Treaties 211 Marrakesh Treaty to Facilitate Access to Published Works for Persons Who Are Blind, Visually Impaired, or Otherwise Print Disabled 3 patent databases 276 PATENTSCOPE database 276 Technology and Innovation Support Centres (TISCs) 259 WIPO Copyright Treaty (WCT) 211, 340 WIPO Patent Information Service (WPIS) 276 WIPO Performances and Phonograms Treaty (WPPT) 211, 340 World Trade Organisation (WTO) 2-3, 97, 110, 239, 269, 343 Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS) Agreement 2, 97, 117, 132-133, 211

Y

youth unemployment in Africa 46-47 deficiency in skills 46

Z

Zwolle principles, on scholarship and copyright management 218